Holocene sub-centennial evolution of Atlantic water inflow and sea ice distribution in the western Barents Sea
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Abstract. A marine sediment core (JM09-KA11-GC) from the Kveithola Trough at the western Barents Sea margin has been investigated in order to reconstruct sub-surface temperatures and sea ice distribution at a sub-centennial resolution throughout the Holocene. The relationship between past variability of Atlantic water inflow and sea ice distribution has been established by measurement of planktic foraminifera, stable isotopes and biomarkers from sea ice diatoms and phytoplankton.

Throughout the early Holocene (11 900–7300 cal yr BP), the foraminiferal fauna is dominated by the polar species Neogloboquadrina pachyderma (sinistral) and the biomarkers show an influence of seasonal sea ice. Between 10 900 and 10 700 cal yr BP, a clear cooling is shown both by fauna and stable isotope data corresponding to the so-called Preboreal Oscillation. After 7300 cal yr BP, the sub-polar Turborotalita quinqueloba becomes the most frequent species, reflecting a stable Atlantic water inflow. Sub-surface temperatures reach 6 °C and biomarker data indicate mainly ice-free conditions. During the last 1100 cal yr BP, biomarker abundances and distributions show the reappearance of low-frequency seasonal sea ice and the planktic fauna show a reduced salinity in the sub-surface water. No apparent temperature decrease is observed during this interval, but the rapidly fluctuating fauna and biomarker distributions indicate more unstable conditions.

1 Introduction

Sea ice is a pivotal element of the climate system (e.g. Kvingedal, 2005; Stroeve et al., 2012) and plays a major role in determining ocean circulation in the North Atlantic. There has been a dramatic reduction in Arctic sea ice cover in recent decades (e.g. Comiso et al., 2008) and, in summer 2012, this loss reached its maximum extent within the ca. 30 yr record of satellite sea ice observations (Schiermeier, 2012). Increased sea ice loss in the Arctic Ocean will result in an enhanced Arctic warming, and this will also have a severe impact on climatic conditions in the Northern Hemisphere in general (e.g. extreme winters in Europe) (Francis et al., 2009).

The underlying water masses are of great importance in determining sea ice extent, especially in the high-latitude North Atlantic and adjacent Barents Sea, where sea ice interacts with the inflow of warm saline Atlantic water (Hopkins, 1991). Indeed, some recent studies have suggested that enhanced advection of Atlantic water into the Arctic might be one of the main causes of the recent sea ice decline (Kinnard et al., 2011; Spielhagen et al., 2011), so ice conditions in the Barents Sea are especially influenced by changing oceanic fronts. The interaction between sea ice and Atlantic water also affects salinity regulation and hence the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) (e.g. Rudels et al., 1996; Dieckmann and Hellmer, 2008). As such, the high-latitude North Atlantic, including the western Barents Sea, represents a key study area as it is the main gateway for Atlantic water into the Arctic Ocean (Broecker, 1991).
Previous studies indicate that Atlantic water inflow in the high-latitude North Atlantic and adjacent Barents Sea has changed throughout the Holocene (Bauch and Weinelt, 1997; Bond et al., 1997; Jennings et al., 2002; Jiang et al., 2002; Sarnthein et al., 2003; Duplessy et al., 2005; Hald et al., 2007; Risebrobakken et al., 2010), and an overall decrease in atmospheric and oceanic temperatures is generally linked to decreasing solar insolation (Berger, 1978; Koç et al., 1993; Kaufman et al., 2004). During the early Holocene, when solar insolation reached a maximum at these latitudes (Laskar et al., 2004), a strong advection of Atlantic water has been observed (e.g. Hhusum and Hald, 2004; Slubowska et al., 2005; Slubowska-Woldengen et al., 2007); however, several reconstructions of palaeo-temperatures based on alkenones (e.g. Calvo et al., 2002), diatoms (e.g. Andersen et al., 2004; Birks and Koç, 2002; Koç and Jansen, 1994) and planktic foraminifera (e.g. Andersson et al., 2003; Risebrobakken et al., 2003; Sarnthein et al., 2003) show a different timing of this Holocene maximum. Such differences might be explained in terms of regional variations or influences attributed to the different depth habitats or seasonal responses of the various proxies (Moros et al., 2004). For example, Andersson et al. (2010) suggested that discrepancies in SST records could be explained by differences in hydrographic settings (i.e. sea surface versus sub-surface), while Risebrobakken et al. (2011) showed that a strong insolation at high northern latitudes affects temperatures within the summer mixed layer, but not within the waters underneath, thereby amplifying the different roles of oceanic heat advection and orbital forcing.

Superimposed on this overall trend, observations of several millennial-scale changes in surface ocean circulation also exist (e.g. Bauch and Weintelt, 1997; Bond et al., 1997; Duplessy et al., 2001; Jennings et al., 2002; Jiang et al., 2002; Hald et al., 2007). These changes have been attributed to several influences, including the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), the Arctic Oscillation and sea ice (Giraudieu et al., 2004; Solignac et al., 2006; Rousse et al., 2006; Slubowska-Woldengen et al., 2007; Goosse and Holland, 2005; Semenov et al., 2009). Further, Orvik and Skagseth (2003) suggested that wind stress curls affect the variability of Atlantic water inflow. Thus, atmospheric changes are important as they influence the strength of the surface water masses, the AMOC and hence Earth’s global heat distribution. The precise nature and driving forces behind the variability of Atlantic water inflow and sea ice extent are, however, not well constrained and large uncertainties prevent an accurate prediction of the future state of these polar regions. In order to determine the degree of natural variability, more well-dated and high-resolution records are required (e.g. Voronina et al., 2001), especially those that include records of sea ice distribution (Polyak et al., 2010).

In this paper, we describe a new high-resolution record of surface water properties and sea ice distribution in the western Barents Sea in order to provide a better understanding of their variability throughout the Holocene. The core site (Fig. 1) is situated close to the modern day position of the Arctic front (Hopkins, 1991) and is situated in a glacial trough, which acts as a natural sediment trap containing relatively thick Holocene sediments (Rüther et al., 2012). It therefore represents an excellent location for carrying out such a study using planktic foraminiferal fauna, stable isotopes ($\delta^{18}$O, $\delta^{13}$C) and sea ice and phytoplankton biomarkers.

2 Regional oceanography

An overview of the main surface currents in the high-latitude North Atlantic and adjacent Barents Sea is shown in Fig. 1a. The North Atlantic Current (NAC) is drawn from the south, pushing relatively warm salty Atlantic water ($T > 2^\circ C, S > 35 \%e$; Hopkins, 1991) into the northern North Atlantic (Swift, 1986) (Fig. 1a). Subsequently, this Atlantic water spreads into adjoining regions by different branches of the NAC, i.e. the West Spitsbergen Current (WSC) into the Arctic Ocean and the North Cape Current (NCaC) into the Barents Sea (Fig. 1a). The latter current flows partly northwards into the Barents Sea, whereas another branch turns eastwards, parallel with the coastal current system (Loeng, 1991).

Cold, less saline and ice-loaded polar water ($T 0–2^\circ C, S 33–34.4 \%e$; Hopkins, 1991) coming from the Arctic Ocean is brought into the Atlantic Ocean by the East Greenland Current (EGC) (Rudels et al., 2005) and into the Barents Sea by the East Spitsbergen Current (ESC) and Bear Island Current (BIC). The ESC continues northwards along the inner shelf of western Svalbard (Fig. 1a). When polar and Atlantic water meet in the Barents Sea, they mix and form Arctic water ($T \sim 0.5^\circ C, S \sim 34.8 \%e$; Hopkins, 1991), which is characterized by a reduced temperature and salinity, as well as by a seasonal sea ice distribution (Hopkins, 1991). The boundaries between polar/Arctic and Arctic/Atlantic waters correspond to the polar front and Arctic front, respectively. Both fronts represent a sharp climatic gradient in terms of temperature, salinity and sea ice coverage and are amongst the main oceanographic features of the Barents Sea (Hopkins, 1991).

Further north, dense deep water is generated due to brine rejection during winter freezing (Midttun, 1985).

Warm and fresh coastal water ($T 2–13^\circ C, S 32–35 \%e$; Hopkins, 1991) is found on the shelves and off the coast of Norway, with its reduced salinity resulting from freshwater runoff from the Norwegian mainland and Baltic Sea (Blindheim, 1987). This water mass is transported northwards by the Norwegian Coastal Current (NCC) into the south-western Barents Sea, where it continues along the Norwegian and Russian coastline (Aure and Strand, 2001). The coastal front represents the boundary between coastal and Atlantic water. It typically overlies Atlantic water as a westward thinning wedge although, more northwards, the two water masses mix (Sætre, 2007).
The overall extent of sea ice distribution in the northern North Atlantic and the Barents Sea is closely related to the positions of the polar and Arctic fronts, which align with the average summer and winter sea ice margins, respectively (Vinje, 1977) (Fig. 1a). These fronts determine the position of the marginal ice zone and surface productivity in the summer season (e.g. Smith and Sakshaug, 1990). In the northeastern Barents Sea, Arctic water dominates and sea ice is formed during autumn and winter (Loeng, 1991). In contrast, the southern Barents Sea is characterized by large seasonal and inter-annual sea ice distribution changes due to the strong influence of Atlantic water (Kvingedal, 2005). Nearly all the biological primary production in the Barents Sea results from a peak algal bloom during the spring as ice retreats along the ice edge (Sakshaug et al., 1992). In the western Barents Sea, Atlantic water dominates the water masses and is overlain by fresher and colder surface waters (Loeng, 1991) (Fig. 2).

3 Material and methods

Sediment core JM09-KA11-GC was retrieved in 2009 by RV Jan Mayen in the western Barents Sea (74.87° N, 16.48° E) at a water depth of 345 m (Rüther et al., 2012) (Fig. 1b). In this study, only the Holocene interval (past 11 900 cal yr BP) of the core was analysed. The Holocene interval is represented by the upper 1.3 m of the core and consists of sediments rich in sand and silt (Rüther et al., 2012).

3.1 Chronology

A depth–age model of JM09-KA11-GC was first developed by Rüther et al. (2012). For the current study, five additional AMS 14C dates were obtained and a new depth–age model was developed using linear interpolation (Fig. 3). All 13 AMS 14C dates were calibrated using Calib 7.0.0 software (Stuiver and Reimer, 1993), the Marine13 calibration curve (Reimer et al., 2013) and a local reservoir age (ΔR value) of 67 ± 34 based on existing data from near Bear Island (74.12° N, 19.07° E) (Mangerud and Gulliksen, 1975). This calibration was constrained on a 2-σ range (Table 1). Five AMS 14C dates were not included in the final depth–age model (Table 1). The AMS 14C date at 4.5 cm was left out as its 2-σ range was larger than the subsequent AMS 14C date at 4.5 cm. Three other AMS 14C dates, one at 33.0 cm and two at 82.5 cm, appeared to be too young, most likely due to the downward migration of the infaunal molluscs Astronoealticidae and Nuculana minuta. An additional AMS 14C date at 111.0 cm on benthic foraminifera appeared too old when listing all AMS 14C dates, including those from the lower most part of the core covering the Younger Dryas and deglaciation (Rüther et al., 2012). Although carbon dating of molluscs may be problematic (e.g. Mangerud et al., 2006), it
appears that this date is reworked when comparing it to the succession of all AMS $^{14}$C dates. Hence, it was left out of the depth–age model. Other radiocarbon dates on molluscs appeared not to be reworked when evaluating all AMS $^{14}$C dates together and thus they were included in the depth–age model. The resulting depth–age model showed sedimentation rates between 0.03 and 0.25 mm yr$^{-1}$ corresponding to a sub-centennial (20–159 yr / 0.5 cm) resolution (Fig. 3).

### 3.2 Background to proxies

Sea surface and sub-surface temperatures are often reconstructed using faunal assemblages and stable isotopic analysis of planktic foraminifera (e.g. Eynaud, 2011) and quantitative reconstructions can be obtained through the application of transfer functions (e.g. Imbrie and Kipp, 1971; Pflaumann et al., 2003; Kucera et al., 2005). Recently, Husum and Hald (2012) developed an Arctic training set based on the $>100\mu$m size fraction and found that the most robust reconstructions of sea surface temperatures using transfer functions were obtained when using summer temperatures from sub-surface water masses at 100 m water depth.

In recent years, seasonal Arctic sea ice conditions have been derived by analysis of the sea ice diatom biomarker IP$_{25}$ (Belt et al., 2007; Belt and Müller, 2013). IP$_{25}$ is a C$_{25}$ monounsaturated highly branched isoprenoid (HBI) lipid produced specifically by Arctic sea ice diatoms and appears to be relatively stable in marine sediments (Brown et al., 2011; Belt and Müller, 2013; Stein and Fahl, 2013). Importantly, in a number of studies, variable abundances of sedimentary IP$_{25}$ have been shown to be consistent with known sea ice trends or have provided new information regarding palaeo-sea-ice-cover conditions (Belt and Müller, 2013, and references therein). Since the absence of IP$_{25}$ from Arctic marine sediments is believed to either represent open water or perennial ice cover, the additional determination of brassicasterol and other phytoplankton lipids has been used to distinguish between these two oceanographic extremes (e.g. Müller et al., 2009, 2011; Belt and Müller, 2013). With respect to the current study location, Vare et al. (2010) observed a good correlation between IP$_{25}$ data and historical records of sea ice covering the last few centuries for the Barents Sea and suggested that longer term palaeo-sea-ice records beyond the historical data should therefore be achievable using the same approach. In addition, Navarro-Rodriguez et al. (2013) showed that the occurrence of IP$_{25}$ in surface sediments from the Barents Sea was extremely sensitive to sea ice cover in recent decades.
Table 1. AMS $^{14}$C dates and calibrated radiocarbon ages of JM09-KA11-GC. The calibration is based on the Marine13 calibration curve (Reimer et al., 2013) and a regional $\Delta R$ of 67 ± 34. The dates which are not used in the final depth–age model are indicated in italics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab ID</th>
<th>Core depth</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$^{14}$C yr BP (uncorrected)</th>
<th>1σ cal yr BP</th>
<th>2-σ range</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tra-1063</td>
<td>4.5 cm</td>
<td>Mollusc dextral part of Bathymyra glacialis</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>397–555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tra-1064</td>
<td>4.5 cm</td>
<td>Mollusc dextral part of Bathymyra glacialis</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>354–535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tra-1065</td>
<td>16.0 cm</td>
<td>Mollusc sinistral part of Bathymyra glacialis</td>
<td>1880</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1377</td>
<td>1268–1485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-341049</td>
<td>27.5 cm</td>
<td>Benthic foraminifera Islandella norcrossi/helenae</td>
<td>4820</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5027</td>
<td>4856–5197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tra-1066</td>
<td>33.0 cm</td>
<td>Mollusc dextral part of Astarte elliptica</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1469</td>
<td>1347–1590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-315192</td>
<td>40.0 cm</td>
<td>Benthic foraminifera Islandella norcrossi/helenae</td>
<td>5870</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6211</td>
<td>6108–6313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-315193</td>
<td>44.5 cm</td>
<td>Benthic foraminifera Islandella norcrossi/helenae</td>
<td>6890</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7339</td>
<td>7241–7436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tra-1067</td>
<td>55.0 cm</td>
<td>Mollusc sinistral part of Astarte sulcata</td>
<td>7630</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>8038</td>
<td>7919–8154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-315194</td>
<td>80.5 cm</td>
<td>Benthic foraminifera Islandella norcrossi/helenae</td>
<td>9140</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>9790</td>
<td>9573–10006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tra-1068</td>
<td>82.5 cm</td>
<td>Mollusc paired shell of Astarte elliptica</td>
<td>8140</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8541</td>
<td>8387–8695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tra-1069</td>
<td>82.5 cm</td>
<td>Mollusc sinistral part of Nuculana minuta</td>
<td>8315</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8780</td>
<td>8595–8965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-351195</td>
<td>111.0 cm</td>
<td>Benthic foraminifera Elphidium excavatum</td>
<td>10900</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12309</td>
<td>12072–12546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tra-1070</td>
<td>134.5 cm</td>
<td>Mollusc paired shell of Yoldiella intermedia</td>
<td>10705</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>11993</td>
<td>11668–12318</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Planktic foraminiferal species list.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planktic foraminiferal species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Globigerina bulloides (d’Orbigny, 1826)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globigerinatia glutinata (Egger, 1893)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globigerinatia avula (Ehrenberg, 1861)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neogloboquadrina incompta (Cifelli, 1961)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neogloboquadrina pachyderma (sinistral) (Ehrenberg, 1861)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turborotalita quinqueloba (Natland, 1838)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Planktic foraminifera

The JM09-KA11-GC core was sampled for planktic foraminifera every 0.5 cm. The samples were freeze-dried, wet-sieved into different size fractions using 1000, 100 and 63 µm mesh size sieves and dried in an oven at 40 °C. Due to a low abundance of planktic foraminifera in the samples between 90.0 and 130.0 cm, the foraminifera in these samples were separated from the sediment using sodium polytungstate diluted with distilled water to a specific gravity of 1.8 g mL$^{-1}$ following Knudsen (1998). One hundred and twenty-seven samples were analysed with regard to the planktic foraminifera using the 100–1000 µm size fraction according to Knudsen (1998). The identification of left- and right-coiling Neogloboquadrina pachyderma was done following Darling et al. (2006) (Table 2). A minimum of 300 specimens was identified for each sample, although when calculating relative and absolute abundances, 57 samples containing planktic foraminifera between 50 and 300 specimens were still included, Relative abundances (%) and fluxes (no. specimens cm$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) were calculated for each sample. Fluxes were calculated according to Ehrmann and Thiede (1985) using dry bulk densities, which were calculated using the water content and wet bulk density measurements of Rüther et al. (2012).

Planktic foraminifera can be exposed to carbonate dissolution associated with ocean circulation and climate (e.g. Archer and Maier-Reimer, 1994; Archer, 1996). As dissolution might have affected the planktic foraminifera assemblages in JM09-KA11-GC, it was considered important to quantify the state of foraminiferal preservation. Hence, the mean shell weight of *N. pachyderma* (sin.) was measured (Broecker and Clark, 2001; Barker and Elderfield, 2002; Beer et al., 2010). Visually well-preserved and square-shaped forms of *N. pachyderma* (sin.) were weighed using a Mettler Toledo microbalance (0.1 µg sensitivity). To minimize problems of ontogeny and variability due to size differences, the tests were picked within a narrow size fraction of 230–290 µm (Barker et al., 2004). Further, the fragmentation of foraminiferal tests was analysed in the 100–1000 µm size fraction, as this also reflects the degree of dissolution (Conan et al., 2002). The fragmentation (%) was calculated using the method of Pufhl and Shackleton (2004) (Eq. 1).

$$\text{Fragmentation (％)} = \frac{\text{no. fragments} \cdot g^{-1}}{\text{no. test} \cdot g^{-1} + \text{no. fragments} \cdot g^{-1}} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

In using Eq. (1), it was assumed that each shell breaks into more than one fragment, and therefore the total number of fragments per sample was divided by three. The use of a divisor reduces misinterpretations of the dissolution sensitivity in changes and progress (Le and Shackleton, 1992; Pufhl and Shackleton, 2004).

Finally, summer (July-August-September) sub-surface temperatures (sSST) were reconstructed for a water depth of 100 m using the improved modern training set of Husum and Hald (2012). The weighted average partial least square (WA-PLS) and maximum likelihood (ML) statistical models with a leave-one-out cross validation were applied (Ter Braak and Juggins, 1993; Telford and Birks, 2005). The calculations were carried out using the computer program C2 version 1.7.2 (Juggins, 2010).
3.4 Stable isotope analysis

Stable isotope analysis (δ\textsuperscript{13}C and δ\textsuperscript{18}O) was carried out on 132 samples, giving a sub-centennial resolution. Foraminiferal tests of *N. pachyderma* (sin.) from the 100–1000 µm size fraction were analysed. Measurements were performed with a Finnigan MAT 253 mass spectrometer coupled to an automated Kiel device at the Geological Mass Spectrometry (GMS) Laboratory of the University of Bergen. The data were reported on the VPDB scale (calibrated with NBS-19) and measurements were conducted with a reproducibility of ±0.04‰ (δ\textsuperscript{13}C) and ±0.06‰ (δ\textsuperscript{18}O). The resulting δ\textsuperscript{18}O values were corrected for the ice volume effect according to Fairbanks (1989). The isotope measurements in this study were not corrected for their species-specific vital effect as published estimates in the literature are often inconsistent, possibly due to apparent change between seasons (Jonkers et al., 2010).

3.5 Biomarker analysis

Individual sub-samples for biomarker analysis were taken at 0.5 cm intervals, freeze-dried and stored at −20°C prior to analysis. Biomarker analysis (IP\textsubscript{25} and sterols) was performed using methods described previously (Brown et al., 2011; Belt et al., 2012) but with some modifications. Briefly, three internal standards were added to each freeze-dried sediment sample to permit quantification of lipid biomarkers. Specifically, 7-hexylnonadecane (7-HND, 10 µL; 10 µg mL\textsuperscript{-1}) and 9-octyloctadec-8-ene (9-OHD, 10 µL; 10 µg mL\textsuperscript{-1}) were added for quantification of IP\textsubscript{25} and 5α-androstan-3β-ol (10 µL; 10 µg mL\textsuperscript{-1}) was added for quantification of sterols. Sediments were then extracted using dichloromethane/methanol (3 × 3 mL, 2 : 1 v/v) and ultrasonication before decanting and drying (Brown et al., 2011; Belt et al., 2012). Since many total organic extracts (TOEs) were found to contain high concentrations of elemental sulfur that interfered with the subsequent gas chromatographic analyses, this was removed from the primary extracts before further purification. This was achieved through the addition of hexane (1 mL), tetrahydrofuran (TBA, 1 mL) and 2-propanol (2 mL) to the dried TOEs, which were then shaken by hand (1 min). After addition of ultra-high-purity water (3 mL), the samples were shaken again (1 min) and centrifuged. The hexane layer (containing the lipids of interest) was transferred to a clean vial and the procedure repeated twice more. Following removal of the solvent from the combined hexane extracts using nitrogen, the resulting TOEs were purified using column chromatography (silica), with IP\textsubscript{25} and other hydrocarbons (hexane, 6 mL) and sterols (20 : 80 methylacetate/hexane, 6 mL) collected as two single fractions. In some cases, the identification or quantification of IP\textsubscript{25} in these partially purified extracts was made difficult due to a combination of low concentrations and the occurrence of other highly abundant co-eluting organic compounds that prevented further concentration of the extracts. Therefore, hexane extracts were further fractionated into saturated and unsaturated components using glass pipettes containing silver ion solid-phase extraction (SPE) material (Supelco discovery Ag-Ion). Saturated hydrocarbons were eluted first (hexane, 5 column volumes, then dichloromethane, 5 column volumes) and unsaturated hydrocarbons (including IP\textsubscript{25}) were eluted with dichloromethane / acetone (95 / 5, 5 column volumes) before being dried (nitrogen). Analysis of individual fractions was carried out using gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and operating conditions were as described previously (e.g. Belt et al., 2012; Brown and Belt, 2012). Sterols were derivatized (N,O-Bis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacacetamide; 50 µL, 70°C, 1 h) prior to analysis by GC-MS. Mass-spectrometric analysis was carried out either in total ion current (TIC) or single-ion monitoring (SIM) mode. Individual lipids were identified on the basis of their characteristic GC retention indices and mass spectra obtained from standards. Quantification of lipids was achieved by comparison of mass spectral responses of selected ions (SIM mode) with those of the internal standards and normalized according to relative response factors and sediment masses (Belt et al., 2012). Analytical reproducibility was monitored using a standard sediment with known abundances of biomarkers for every 16 to 18 sediment samples extracted (analytical error < 5%, n = 4). All biomarker concentrations (µg g\textsuperscript{-1} sediment) were normalized to total organic carbon content (µg g\textsuperscript{-1} OC) and also converted to fluxes (µg cm\textsuperscript{-2} yr\textsuperscript{-1}) as per the method used for foraminifera. Individual biomarker data were not combined to derive corresponding PIP\textsubscript{25} data (Müller at al., 2011) for two reasons. First, Navarro-Rodriguez et al. (2013) showed that the PIP\textsubscript{25}-based approach for semi-quantitative sea ice reconstruction does not work well for recent sea ice conditions for the Barents Sea. Second, the data presented here correspond to the Holocene epoch only; however, additional biomarker data from the same core covering the Younger Dryas (not shown) indicate that the so-called balance factor (c) used in the calculation of the PIP\textsubscript{25} data is highly variable depending on the section of core under study. This has previously been identified as a potential limitation of this approach (Belt and Müller, 2013) and the current study exemplifies this further.

4 Results

4.1 Planktic foraminifera

The planktic foraminiferal fauna consists of six species and is dominated by two: *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* (sin.) and *Turborotalita quinqueloba*. *Neogloboquadrina incompta*, *Globigerinita uvula* and *Globigerinoides glutinata* are also observed, but as minor species. Further, *Globigerina*
bulloides is found sporadically throughout the record (Table 2; Fig. 4a–f).

The early part of the record (11 900–9900 cal yr BP) is dominated by N. pachyderma (sin.) with a percentage abundance of ca. 60 % and a maximum is observed at 10 800 cal yr BP (84 %) (Fig. 4a). Between 11 900 and 10 800 cal yr BP, N. incompta shows a decrease, followed by an increase, reaching its maximum relative abundance of 30 % at 10 400 cal yr BP. This is followed by a decrease between 10 400 and 7300 cal yr BP towards 6 % (Fig. 4c). T. quinqueloba becomes the most frequent species at 9900 cal yr BP and increases continuously up to 8000 cal yr BP (Fig. 4b). From 7300 to 1100 cal yr BP the relative abundances of all six recorded species remain relatively stable, with T. quinqueloba as the most dominant species (ca. 65 %) (Fig. 4a–f). The last 1100 cal yr BP is characterized by a decrease in T. quinqueloba and N. incompta and an increase in G. glutinata and G. bulloides (Fig. 4f–f). The most prominent feature of this period, however, is the rather sharp increase in G. uvula of almost 10 % (Fig. 4d).

Both the total absolute abundances of planktic foraminifera (per gram dry sediment) and the total fluxes of foraminifera show very low values in the early part of the record (11 900–9900 cal yr BP) (Fig. 4g). At ca. 10 400 cal yr BP, the total foraminiferal flux increases sharply towards 9900 cal yr BP reaching ca. 100 specimens cm$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$. The increase in concentration starts after ca. 10 000 cal yr BP and reaches values of ca. 5000 specimens g$^{-1}$ in ca. 1000 yr. Between 9900 and 7300 cal yr BP, the concentration continues to fluctuate around 4000 specimens g$^{-1}$, and the flux decreases to values around 10 to 20 specimens cm$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$. From ca. 7300 cal yr BP, concentrations continue to gently increase, whereas fluxes remain relatively constant. In the more recent part of the record, the flux reaches a value of > 60 specimens cm$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ at 1100 cal yr BP, whereas the concentration shows a sharp increase, extending to values of 6000 specimens g$^{-1}$.

The shell weight and fragmentation are inversely correlated throughout the record (Fig. 4h). Between 11 900 and 10 300 cal yr BP, the mean shell weight decreases from approximately 5 to 3 μg, whereas the shell fragmentation increases from ca. 10 to 30 %. This period is followed by a rapid increase in shell weight from 3 to 10 μg and a simultaneous decrease in shell fragmentation from 30 to 5 %. Between 9900 and 1100 cal yr BP, the values are relatively stable, showing a mean shell weight and fragmentation of ca. 7 μg and 10 %, respectively (Fig. 4h). Finally, from 1100 cal yr BP towards the present day, the shell weight decreases to ca. 5 μg, whereas the fragmentation remains stable at around 10 %.

Different statistical models have been tested in order to reconstruct sSST records and their performance characteristics are evaluated following Birks (1995) (Table 3). A WA-PLS component 3 model is selected as the most appropriate model to obtain a precise estimation. This choice is based on a combination of the lowest root-mean-square error of prediction (RMSEP = 0.52), the highest correlation between observed and estimated values ($R^2 = 0.93$) and the lowest maximum bias (max. bias = 0.53) (Birks, 1995) (Table 3). The foraminifer-derived SST record shows a gradual warming from 4 to 5 °C between 11 900 and 10 400 cal yr BP (Fig. 4i). This period is interrupted by an abrupt and short cooling event of ca. 2.5 °C around 10 800 cal yr BP. From 10 400 to 8000 cal yr BP, the record shows a more gradual increase up to 6 °C, where it remains stable to present (Fig. 4i).

### 4.2 Stable isotope analysis

The $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^{13}$C measurements of N. pachyderma (sin.) show similar general trends throughout the record (Fig. 5). Overall, the $\delta^{18}$O values are in the range 1.79 to 2.90‰ (Fig. 5a). Between 11 900 and 11 300 cal yr BP, $\delta^{18}$O values show a slight depletion followed by a small enrichment towards 10 800 cal yr BP. Subsequently, a sharp depletion in $\delta^{18}$O is observed at ca. 10 400 cal yr BP (Fig. 5a), after which $\delta^{18}$O values gradually increase up to 2.50‰ until ca. 7300 cal yr BP. The $\delta^{18}$O record then remains relatively stable until 1100 cal yr BP with a mean value of 2.50‰. For the last 1100 cal yr BP, the record shows slightly increased values (Fig. 5a).

The $\delta^{13}$C record is within the range −0.30 to 0.86‰ (Fig. 5b). A slight depletion in $\delta^{13}$C is recorded between 11 900 and 11 500 cal yr BP, followed by a small enrichment towards 10 700 cal yr BP and a sharp depletion with values of −0.26‰ towards ca. 10 400 cal yr BP. Between ca. 10 400 and 7300 cal yr BP, $\delta^{13}$C values increase to 0.40‰. For the remaining part of the record, a relatively stable trend is observed with a mean value of ca. 0.40‰.

### 4.3 Biomarker analysis

The concentration profiles of IP$_{25}$ and the two sterols – 24-methylcholesta-5,22E-dien-3β-ol (brassicasterol) and 24-methylcholesta-5,24(28)-dien-3β-ol (24-methylenecholesterol) – are shown in Fig. 6. At
Fig. 4. Planktic foraminiferal analysis plotted versus cal yr BP. The white diamonds on the y axis denote the AMS $^{14}$C converted to calibrated radiocarbon ages. Samples below the dotted line contain < 300 planktic foraminiferal specimens. (A–F) Species-specific relative distribution (grey-filled area) and flux (black line) (note the logarithmic scale for the fluxes). (G) Total planktic foraminiferal concentration (grey-filled area) and flux (black line) (note the logarithmic scale for the fluxes). (H) Mean shell weight (grey line) and planktic foraminiferal fragmentation (black line) (note the reversed axis). (I) Reconstructed $sSST_{WA-PLS}$.

11 900 cal yr BP the IP$_{25}$ concentration is at its highest value (2.11 µg g$^{-1}$ OC) in the entire record, while the brassicasterol (20.18 µg g$^{-1}$ OC) and 24-methylenecholesterol (5.45 µg g$^{-1}$ OC) concentrations are relatively low at this point. At the onset of the Holocene (ca. 11 700 cal yr BP), there is a sharp decrease in IP$_{25}$ and a simultaneous increase in brassicasterol and 24-methylenecholesterol concentrations. Between ca. 11 300 and 9900 cal yr BP, IP$_{25}$ concentrations remain relatively constant at ca. 0.25 µg g$^{-1}$ OC, while those of brassicasterol and 24-methylenecholesterol increase after ca. 11 300 cal yr BP, reaching their highest values (51.09 and 18.97 µg g$^{-1}$ OC, respectively) at ca. 10 400 cal yr BP followed by a decrease towards ca. 9900 cal yr BP. Between 9900 and 1100 cal yr BP, both IP$_{25}$ and 24-methylenecholesterol are either absent or very low in concentration, but brassicasterol is still present, albeit in very low concentrations of ca. 9.00 µg g$^{-1}$ OC. For the final part of the record (1100–0 cal yr BP), there is a clear increase in brassicasterol concentrations, reaching 60.95 µg g$^{-1}$ OC at the top of the core. In contrast, IP$_{25}$ continues to be present, but at a very low concentration (ca. 0.10 µg g$^{-1}$ OC). The concentrations of all three biomarkers are substantially lower in the recent interval (< ca. 1100 cal yr BP) compared to those found in the early part of the record, with the exception of brassicasterol, which has reasonably elevated concentrations during the last ca. 500 cal yr BP. Finally, biomarker concentration profiles align closely with fluxes throughout the record (Fig. 6).

5 Discussion

The different proxy data in this study indicate palaeoceanographic changes of sub-surface temperatures and sea ice conditions in the western Barents Sea during the Holocene. These changes are discussed according to five time periods identified through analysis of all proxy data (Fig. 7). Period I represents the period 11 900 to 10 400 cal yr BP (early Holocene) with a short interval defined as sub-period Ia between 10 900 and 10 700 cal yr BP (Preboreal Oscillation). Period II constitutes the period from 10 400 to 7300 cal yr BP (early–mid-Holocene), period III represents the interval from 7300 to 1100 cal yr BP (mid–late Holocene) and period IV covers the last 1100 cal yr BP (late Holocene–present).

5.1 Period I: 11 900–10 400 cal yr BP (early Holocene)

The planktic foraminiferal fauna distribution is characterized by a clear dominance of N. pachyderma (sin.), which is associated with cold Arctic and polar water (Volkman, 2000) (Fig. 7a). The high relative abundance of N. pachyderma (sin.) (60 %) agrees well with data from previous studies. For example, Ebbesen et al. (2007) found a high abundance of this species (up to 80 %) when investigating the > 100 µm size fraction in a sediment core from the western Svalbard margin. Further, Sarnthein et al. (2003) found a similar abundance of N. pachyderma (sin.) (ca. 50 %) during this time interval in a core slightly west of the current study site, although they analysed a different size fraction (> 150 µm).
Fig. 5. Stable isotope analysis plotted versus cal yr BP. The white diamonds on the y axis denote the AMS \(^{14}\)C converted to calibrated radiocarbon ages. (A) \(^{18}\)O measurements of \(N.\) pachyderma (sin.) corrected for ice volume effect after Fairbanks (1989). (B) \(^{13}\)C measurements of \(N.\) pachyderma (sin.).

The relatively low sSST values (ca. 4 °C) throughout this period (Fig. 7d) are ca. 1.5 °C lower than modern day temperatures at 100 m water depth, which argues for an enhanced influence of cold Arctic water at the core site.

Throughout period I, the mean shell weight of \(N.\) pachyderma (sin.) and the shell fragmentation show the least favourable preservation conditions within the record, likely reflecting increased dissolution (Fig. 7c). The core location is situated above the carbonate compensation depth (CCD); hence, the dissolution must be ascribed to other factors. Dissolution of planktic foraminifera may occur within the water column, at the sediment–water interface and in the sediments (e.g. Lohmann, 1995). Previous research has argued that the dissolution of calcareous material in the Barents Sea is caused by \(\mathrm{CO}_2\)-rich and corrosive bottom water masses (e.g. Steinsund and Hald, 1994), which may be formed by brine rejection in the marginal ice zone (e.g. Midttun, 1985; Steinsund and Hald, 1994). Furthermore, it has been shown that the influence of seasonal sea ice might also affect the preservation conditions (Huber et al., 2000). For example, when organic material at the marginal ice zone sinks unutilized to the seafloor, the pore waters become undersaturated with respect to calcium carbonate and can thereby contribute to calcite dissolution (Scott et al., 2008). Hence, the dissolution observed in JM09-KA11-GC may provide evidence for the presence of seasonal sea ice conditions during this interval. Previously, dissolution of planktic foraminifera between 11 900 and 9000 cal yr BP was recorded in the central Fram Strait and was attributed to the increased influence of Arctic water, oceanic fronts and the marginal ice zone (Zamelczyk et al., 2012).

The low concentration of planktic foraminifera during this period may also reflect enhanced sea ice conditions (Fig. 7b), especially as areas heavily influenced by sea ice can be almost barren of planktic foraminifera (e.g. Carstens et al., 1997). Previously, a similar low concentration of planktic foraminifera was observed for this period in a core from the south-western Barents Sea, and this was attributed to high sea ice conditions (Aagaard-Sørensen et al., 2010).

Although low \(^{13}\)C values might be associated with poorly ventilated sub-surface water (Sarnthein et al., 1995), the low \(^{13}\)C values during period I could also indicate low primary production consistent with a low concentration of planktic foraminifera (Fig. 7f). On the other hand, the high sterol concentrations and TOC (Fig. 7h, Fig. 6c–e) are more consistent with enhanced primary production, probably reflecting marginal ice zone conditions. Hence, the depleted \(^{13}\)C data more likely suggest a strongly stratified water mass during the early Holocene (period I). This is consistent with previous research from the Barents Sea, where deglaciation processes such as a freshwater influx were suggested to have influenced the top surface water masses (Risebrobakken et al., 2010).

The stable oxygen isotope record in period I shows a general depletion from 11 900 to 10 400 cal yr BP (Fig. 7e). The sSST increases by ca. 1.5 °C during this interval, which could explain the depletion (Fig. 7d). However, it has also been shown that the surface water masses in the Barents Sea were also influenced by melt water from the retreating ice sheets during this period (Gyllencreutz et al., 2008; Risebrobakken et al., 2010). Thus, the depleted \(^{18}\)O values most likely reflect both a temperature increase and an influence of melt water causing a surface water freshening in the western Barents Sea.

Throughout period I, there is a progressive decrease in IP\(_{25}\) concentration (and flux), while the profiles of brassicasterol and 24-methylenecholesterol reveal a strong increasing trend (Fig. 7g–h). These biomarker data likely reflect the abrupt transition from the Younger Dryas into the early Holocene (period I) with an associated reduction in the extent of seasonal sea ice. Previously, a link between enhanced concentrations of 24-methylenecholesterol and sea ice conditions was suggested (Knies, 2005) and later supported by IP\(_{25}\) data (Cabedo-Sanz et al., 2013). However, in the current study, the abundance and flux profiles of 24-methylenecholesterol more closely resemble those of brassicasterol, indicating that
24-methylenecholesterol is not as selective a biomarker for sea ice as IP$_{25}$. Further, the presence of IP$_{25}$ (albeit in low concentrations) and relatively high concentrations of both sterols, especially between ca. 10800 and 10300 cal yr BP, suggests that during the early Holocene, the study area was probably characterized by periods of sea ice edge conditions or close to the marginal ice zone, since this scenario results generally in enhanced primary production (Smith et al., 1985; Sakshaug, 1997). At the same time, sSST values increase rapidly, whereas $\delta^{18}O$ values are depleted, all showing a surface warming which corresponds to previous research in the region (e.g. Sarnthein et al., 2003; Rasmussen et al., 2007; Risebrobakken et al., 2010). However, a depletion in $\delta^{18}O$ during this interval for the south-western Barents Sea has also been suggested to reflect surface water freshening caused by seasonal sea ice melting (Aagaard-Sørensen et al., 2010).

Within period I, six data points between 10900 and 10700 cal yr BP show a rapid and large increase of _N. pachyderma_ (sin.) corresponding to a cooling of ca. 2.5$^\circ$C (Figs. 7a, d). Simultaneously, a rapid $\delta^{18}O$ enrichment occurs which also reflects this cooling signal (Fig. 7e). Although this abrupt cooling signal is not recorded by the biomarker or $\delta^{13}$C data, it seems to reflect and coincide with the so-called Preboreal Oscillation (PBO). The PBO has previously been recorded throughout the North Atlantic region in different proxy records such as ice core (e.g. Johnsen et al., 1995), terrestrial (e.g. Becker et al., 1991; Björck et al., 1996) and marine palaeo-records (e.g. Hald and Hagen, 1998; Husum and Hald, 2002), and was probably triggered by a melt water outburst hampering the thermohaline convection in the North Atlantic (Björk et al., 1996; Hald and Hagen, 1998; Husum and Hald, 2002).

### 5.2 Period II: 10 400–7300 cal yr BP (early–mid-Holocene)

The gradual and steady increase of _T. quinqueloba_ from 10 400 to 9900 and from 9900 to 7300 cal yr BP, respectively, suggests a change in water masses from Arctic to Atlantic water (Fig. 4b). Previously, Werner et al. (2013) also attributed a high relative abundance of _T. quinqueloba_ to a strong influence of Atlantic water during this period. _T. quinqueloba_ is associated with sub-polar conditions and Atlantic water (Bé and Tolderlund, 1971; Volkmann, 2000). Furthermore, high abundances of _T. quinqueloba_ (> 80%) have been found in close proximity to the sea ice margin in the eastern Fram Strait and northern Barents Sea (Volkmann, 2000). This species responds rapidly to changes in nutrient supply (Reynolds and Thunnel, 1985; Johannessen et al., 1994) and is associated with oceanic front conditions. In the western Barents Sea, it has also been associated with the Arctic front (Burhol, 1994).

Werner et al. (2013) also made a link between high planktic foraminiferal fluxes and ice-free conditions or a fluctuating (seasonal) sea ice margin. Further, _N. incompta_ also indicates an increased influence of warmer Atlantic water during period II as it reaches its maximum abundance within the record at the beginning of this interval (Fig. 4c). _N. incompta_ is a warm-water indicator, often associated with the inflow of temperate Atlantic water (Bé and Tolderlund, 1971;
Fig. 7. Summary and palaeoceanographic development interpretation of multi-proxy data plotted versus cal yr BP. The white diamonds on the y axis denote the AMS $^{14}$C converted to calibrated radiocarbon ages. Samples below the dotted line contain < 300 planktic foraminiferal specimens. (A) Relative abundance of *N. pachyderma* (sin.). (B) Planktic foraminiferal concentration (grey-filled area) and total planktic foraminiferal flux (black line) (note the logarithmic scale for the flux). (C) Mean shell weight of *N. pachyderma* (sin.) (grey line) and planktic foraminiferal fragmentation (black line) (note the reversed axis). (D) Reconstructed summer sea surface temperature estimates. (E) $\delta^{18}$O measurements of *N. pachyderma* (sin.) corrected for ice volume effect after Fairbanks (1989). (F) $\delta^{13}$C measurements of *N. pachyderma* (sin.). (G) Seasonal sea ice biomarker IP$_{25}$ concentration normalized against organic carbon. (H) Sterol concentration normalized against organic carbon: brassicasterol (grey-filled area) and 24-methylenecholesterol (black-hatched area).

Johannessen et al., 1994; Pflaumann et al., 2003). A similar maximum of *N. incompta* (ca. 20 %) has been observed in previous studies between ca. 9000 and 10 500 cal yr BP in the western Barents Sea and western Svalbard margin (Sarnthein et al., 2003; Ebbesen et al., 2007; Hald et al., 2007). This relatively high abundance of *N. incompta* (before dropping to ca. 10 % towards the mid–late Holocene (period III)) might reflect the previously described Holocene Climate Optimum, which is linked to the increased June solar insolation at high latitudes (e.g. Koç et al., 1993; Kaufman et al., 2004; Hald et al., 2007). However, the sSST record shows a small warming trend, reaching ca. 6 $^\circ$C around 8000 cal yr BP and remains relatively stable for the rest of the Holocene (Fig. 7d). This temperature trend may simply reflect stability in the temperature of the sub-surface water masses and that only the upper surface layers reflect the solar maximum, as suggested by Andersson et al. (2010). However, the reconstructed sSST also reflects the planktic foraminiferal fauna data, which differ from previous studies in the region with its very high abundance of *T. quinqueloba* (e.g. Sarnthein et al., 2003; Ebbesen et al., 2007; Hald et al., 2007; Risebrobakken et al., 2010). The current study site may be closer to the Arctic front compared to other studies, which may explain the differences in fauna between studies (e.g. Johannessen et al., 1994), although these could also be related to the state of preservation.

From 10 400 to 9900 cal yr BP, the increased shell weight and concomitant decrease in fragmentation indicate a sharp improvement in preservation conditions (Fig. 7c), a feature that was also observed between 10 800 and 8000 cal yr BP in the western Fram Strait (Zamelczyk et al., 2012). Generally good preservation conditions have been linked to Atlantic surface water where the organic matter productivity is lower, the rain of CaCO$_3$ higher and thus the pore waters supersaturated with respect to calcium (Huber et al., 2000; Henrich et al., 2002). Further, according to Edmond and Gieskes (1970), the solubility of CaCO$_3$ increases with decreasing temperatures and increasing salinities and concentrations of CO$_2$. However, the solubility is also influenced by pressure and thus the water depth of a core site (Archer and Maier-Reimer, 1994). The latter may explain why the dissolution indices
show generally very good preservation conditions for this core site as the water depth is only 345 m.

Dissolution, however, may be selective and is known to affect small species such as *T. quinqueloba* to a greater extent than more resistant thick-shelled species, thus changing the species composition of a foraminiferal assemblage (e.g. Berger, 1970; Thunell and Honjo, 1981; Le and Thunell, 1996). As such, the increased relative abundance of *T. quinqueloba* and the clear increase in total planktic foraminiferal concentrations and fluxes from ca. 10 400 to 9900 cal yr BP may be partially attributed to selective preservation. This selective dissolution might have potential implications for the transfer-function-derived sSST as these depend on the composition of foraminiferal assemblages.

The $\delta^{13}$C values increase continuously throughout the early–mid-Holocene, arguing for a gradual evolution in better-ventilated surface water and/or enhanced primary production (Fig. 7f). The increasing $\delta^{18}$O record suggests increased salinities and/or decreasing temperatures, although the planktic foraminiferal data do not indicate any changes in temperature (Fig. 7e). This increase in salinity is most likely caused by an increased Atlantic water inflow, which supports earlier observations for this time interval (e.g. Risebrobakken et al., 2010).

In terms of the biomarker data, previous studies have shown a strong positive correlation between the presence of IP$_{25}$ and regions of known seasonal sea ice cover, while temporally, directional changes in IP$_{25}$ are normally consistent with corresponding changes in seasonal sea ice extent (Belt and Müller, 2013). Therefore, the declining trend in IP$_{25}$ concentrations from period I, before disappearing from the record at ca. 9900 cal yr BP (Fig. 7g), is consistent with progressively reduced seasonal sea ice. At the same time, brassicasterol concentrations also reduce, likely reflecting the transitions from a favourable marginal ice zone scenario (c.f. period I) to reduced seasonal sea ice cover and finally open water conditions, which are less productive (Fig. 7h).

Thus, the proxy data identify changing water masses, with a steady increase of Atlantic water inflow in particular. Nonetheless, despite the gradual increase of Atlantic water inflow, Arctic front conditions and the presence of seasonal sea ice remains in the proximity of the core site, at least until ca. 9900 cal yr BP. Previous studies from the Barents Sea have recorded similar oceanic front conditions as well as an inflow of sub-surface Atlantic water during the early Holocene (e.g. Lubinski et al., 1996; Hald et al., 1999; Duplessy et al., 2005; Risebrobakken et al., 2010). The most likely north-eastwards shift of oceanic front conditions throughout the early Holocene has been linked to positive NAO index values, with an increase to the inflow of Atlantic water to the North Atlantic and Barents Sea (e.g. Aagaard-Sørensen et al., 2010).

### 5.3 Period III: 7300–1100 cal yr BP (mid–late Holocene)

The faunal composition in period III is marked by relatively consistent abundances of all species and a distinct dominance of *T. quinqueloba* (ca. 60%), possibly suggesting a stable influence of Atlantic water. However, as discussed previously for period II, the high abundance of *T. quinqueloba* differs from earlier published records from this area (e.g. Sarnthein et al., 2003; Hald et al., 2004, 2007; Ebbesen et al., 2007; Werner et al., 2013), which might reflect regional oceanographic differences such as a more general proximity to the Arctic front in the Barents Sea (e.g. Volkmann, 2000; Husum and Hald, 2012). The sea ice biomarker IP$_{25}$ is mainly absent throughout the mid–late Holocene, reflecting predominantly ice-free ocean conditions (Fig. 7g–h), meaning that the marginal ice zone was not at the core site. In contrast, increased IP$_{25}$ concentrations (and hence inferred sea ice conditions) were observed for the West Spitsbergen Shelf during the same interval (Müller et al., 2012), likely reflecting the differences (latitude) between the two study locations.

Although the mean shell weight is slightly lower in period III compared to period II, the preservation indicators are stable throughout the mid–late Holocene (Fig. 7c), which indicates a continuation of the more favourable preservation conditions, most likely linked to the shallow water depth and presence of Atlantic water.

The stability in the relative abundances of the foraminifera is accompanied by a stable sSST record throughout the mid–late Holocene (Fig. 7d). This contrasts earlier reconstructions of SST based on diatoms (Koç et al., 1993) and alkenones (Calvo et al., 2002), which showed a cooling trend throughout the mid–late Holocene. This difference in outcomes might be due to the fact that surface cooling (or warming) that results from variability in insolation may be mainly restricted to the upper ca. 30 m of the water column (Andersson et al., 2010; Risebrobakken et al., 2011). Nonetheless, previous studies of planktic foraminifera in the region have also identified a cooling during the mid–late Holocene (e.g. Sarnthein et al., 2003; Werner et al., 2013). For example, sediment core T88-2 in the south-western Barents Sea showed a less pronounced optimum ending at 6300 cal yr BP, while sediment core MD99-2304 at the western Svalbard margin has a clear optimum ending at 8600 cal yr BP (Ebbesen et al., 2007; Hald et al., 2007; Husum and Hald, 2012). These differences are most likely due to the different study locations reflecting different water masses and settings. The SST reconstructions based on foraminiferal transfer functions do not reflect any changes of nutrition. *T. quinqueloba* depends on somewhat warmer and/or nutritious water masses (e.g. Volkmann, 2000), and hence a minor cooling signal may not be reflected if the nutrition is unchanged.

In general, the planktic foraminiferal concentrations and fluxes remain stable throughout the mid–late Holocene, suggesting that the environmental conditions remained relatively stable and favourable for planktic foraminifera throughout
this period. The consistent $\delta^{13}C$ data between 7300 and 1100 cal yr BP indicate less stratified water masses compared to the early and early-mid-Holocene, probably due to a single, well-mixed Atlantic water mass (Fig. 7f). Together with the relative warm and stable sSST values, the $\delta^{18}O$ record most likely reflects stable and high salinities throughout the mid–late Holocene and thereby indicates a stable and strong Atlantic water inflow, consistent with the outcomes from other records (e.g. Risebrobakken et al., 2010) (Fig. 7e).

5.4 Period IV: 1100–0 cal yr BP (late Holocene–present)

During the last 1100 cal yr BP, the planktic foraminiferal fauna changes slightly compared to period III, showing a minor increase of *G. glutinata* and a larger increase of *G. uvula* (Fig. 4d, e). The cosmopolitan species *G. glutinata* has also been associated with warm-water masses (Hemleben et al., 1989). *G. uvula* is a high-latitude species indicative of a high food supply and high abundances are associated with the productive zone connected with oceanic fronts (Saito et al., 1981; Boltovskoy et al., 1996; Bergami et al., 2009). Further, *G. uvula* is also connected with slightly reduced salinities and is found in high numbers in the coastal water in the south-western Barents Sea (Husum and Hald, 2012).

The sSST and $\delta^{18}O$ values might indicate a minor cooling in this period; however, the mean values are only 5.7°C and $-0.23 \%$ compared to 5.9°C and $-0.20 \%$ in the preceding period (Fig. 7d, e). The $\delta^{18}O$ values may also indicate a minor increase in salinity, but the planktic foraminiferal fauna very clearly shows slightly lower salinities in the sub-surface waters at this time. This corresponds well with previous findings that have shown a reduced salinity or freshening of the uppermost surface layer with concomitant increases in sea ice conditions during the past ca. 3000 cal yr BP in the Fram Strait (Werner et al., 2013). Additionally, a reduced salinity in the upper water masses was also observed between 2500 and 0 cal yr BP in the southern Barents Sea (Risebrobakken et al., 2010). However, Risebrobakken et al. (2010) further found that the episodes of reduced salinity were also associated with lower temperatures, which is not seen clearly in the current study. The fluctuating absence and presence of IP$_{25}$ (at very low concentrations) and increasing brassicasterol concentrations during the last ca. 1100 cal yr BP suggests that this area experienced sea ice conditions, with alternating periods of ice-free conditions and low occurrences of seasonal sea ice close to the core site (Fig. 7g–h). A similar observation of frequently fluctuating sea ice conditions was made by Müller et al. (2012) for the West Spitsbergen Shelf, although these conditions were observed after ca. 3000 cal yr BP. Such conditions are similar to those of the present day, based on satellite imagery and biomarker reconstructions (Navarro-Rodriguez et al., 2013).

The occurrence of seasonal sea ice may also explain the decreased mean shell weight of *N. pachyderma* (sin.) as a result of some dissolution; however the fragmentation remains relatively stable (Fig. 7c). The dissolution is probably caused by the presence of sea ice as seasonal sea ice might also affect the preservation conditions (e.g. Huber et al., 2000).

The planktic foraminiferal concentration reaches its maximum value of the entire record during this period (Fig. 7b) and, together with the slightly enriched $\delta^{13}C$ values (Fig. 7f), indicates higher primary productivity associated with the oceanic front conditions. The $\delta^{13}C$ values could also reflect more stratified water masses due to a decreased influence of Atlantic water. Nonetheless, the sSST and $\delta^{18}O$ values do not show a clear cooling, which could indicate a reduced inflow of Atlantic water. Other proxies – such as coccoliths, benthic foraminifera, benthic stable isotopes ($\delta^{18}O$) and grain size analysis – have also been investigated within the current sediment core. These show relatively stable temperatures in addition to suggesting an increased inflow of Atlantic water to the core site during this time interval (Dymler et al., 2013; Groot et al., 2013), hence providing further evidence for stratification of the upper water column.

The sSST and planktic $\delta^{18}O$ values from the current study do not show the same cooling trend of the surface water masses during the late Holocene as found by others in the eastern Nordic Seas (e.g. Koç et al., 1993; Calvo et al., 2002; Risebrobakken et al., 2010), although it is noted that Arctic planktic foraminifera may not reflect the decreasing insolation due to their depth habitat (Andersson et al., 2010; Risebrobakken et al., 2011). The reappearance of some seasonal sea ice may be attributed to decreasing insolation, which may have caused more severe winter and sea ice conditions and hence increasing the seasonal difference. In support of this, Jernas et al. (2013) have also observed a stronger influence of seasonal (spring/summer) inflow of Atlantic water at this time at the western Svalbard margin. Werner et al. (2013) also suggest a slight re-strengthening of Atlantic water inflow based on their SST record after ca. 3000 cal yr BP, while they also observe an increase of sea ice conditions.

6 Conclusions

This paper presents a multi-proxy study of sub-surface and sea ice conditions in the western Barents Sea throughout the Holocene.

The early Holocene (11 900–10 400 cal yr BP) was characterized by cold water and a reduced Atlantic water inflow. The planktic foraminiferal fauna is dominated by the polar species *N. pachyderma* (sin.) and the sSST values were found to be around 4°C. Stable oxygen isotope values are ca. $-0.75 \%$, reflecting a surface freshening. The core site was also characterized by the transition from severe sea ice conditions at the termination of the Younger Dryas to a marginal ice zone scenario that was favourable for primary production.

Within the early Holocene, a short-term cooling event is shown by both the planktic fauna and the stable isotopes from 10 900–10 700 cal yr BP and is correlated with the so-called
Preboreal Oscillation, which is found throughout the North Atlantic region.

During the early–mid-Holocene (10 400–7300 cal yr BP), Atlantic water inflow increases as shown by planktic foraminiferal fauna dominated by T. quinqueloba and enriched δ18O values reflecting increased salinity. Biomarkers indicate a decline in seasonal sea ice extent and the sSST record reaches values of 6°C at ca. 8000 cal yr BP and remains relatively stable for the rest of the record.

Throughout the mid–late Holocene (7300–1100 cal yr BP), environmental conditions are stable with a pronounced inflow of Atlantic water with relatively warm sub-surface temperatures around 5.9°C, predominantly ice-free conditions and δ18O values reflecting stable high salinities.

Within the late Holocene (1100–0 cal yr BP), the planktic foraminiferal fauna changes slightly, with a higher abundance of G. uvula showing a reduced salinity. The sSST and δ18O values may indicate a very minor cooling, but overall the values do not decrease compared to the mid–late Holocene. The presence of IP25, in particular, suggests a reappearance of low-frequency seasonal sea ice. In general, all proxies from this period fluctuate rapidly, indicating more unstable oceanographic conditions.
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