High resolution measurements of carbon monoxide along a late Holocene Greenland ice core: evidence for in situ production
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Abstract. We present high-resolution measurements of carbon monoxide (CO) concentrations from a shallow ice core of the North Greenland Eemian Ice Drilling project (NEEM-2011-S1). An optical-feedback cavity-enhanced absorption spectrometer (OF-CEAS) coupled to a continuous melter system performed continuous, online analysis during a four-week measurement campaign. This analytical setup generated stable measurements of CO concentrations with an external precision of 7.8 ppbv (1σ), based on repeated analyses of equivalent ice core sections. However, this first application of this measurement technique suffered from a poorly constrained procedural blank of 48 ± 25 ppbv and poor accuracy because an absolute calibration was not possible. The NEEM-2011-S1 CO record spans 1800 yr and the long-term trends within the most recent section of this record (i.e., post 1700 AD) resemble the existing discrete CO measurements from the Eurocore ice core. However, the CO concentration is highly variable (75–1327 ppbv range) throughout the ice core with high frequency (annual scale), high amplitude spikes characterizing the record. These CO signals are too abrupt and rapid to reflect atmospheric variability and their prevalence largely prevents interpretation of the record in terms of atmospheric CO variation. The abrupt CO spikes are likely the result of in situ production occurring within the ice itself, although the unlikely possibility of CO production driven by non-photolytic, fast kinetic processes within the continuous melter system cannot be excluded. We observe that 68 % of the CO spikes are observed in ice layers enriched with pyrogenic aerosols. Such aerosols, originating from boreal biomass burning emissions, contain organic compounds, which may be oxidized or photodissociated to produce CO within the ice. However, the NEEM-2011-S1 record displays an increase of ∼0.05 ppbv yr⁻¹ in baseline CO level prior to 1700 AD (129 m depth) and the concentration remains elevated, even for ice layers depleted in dissolved organic carbon (DOC). Thus, the processes driving the likely in situ production of CO within the NEEM ice may involve multiple, complex chemical pathways not all related to past fire history and require further investigation.

1 Introduction

Carbon monoxide (CO) is a reactive trace gas, which plays a central role in interactions between climate and atmospheric chemistry. CO is the principal sink for the tropospheric hydroxyl radical (OH), the most important oxidant in Earth’s atmosphere. Up to 70 % of the OH radicals react with CO in the modern troposphere (Karlsdottir and Isaksen, 2000), thus impacting the lifetimes of numerous atmospheric constituents such as methane (CH₄), non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHCs), and hydrofluorocarbons (HCFCs). Oxidation of CO by OH in the presence of high levels of nitrogen oxides (NOₓ) can also result in significant production.
of tropospheric ozone (Crutzen, 1973). Since CO impacts the atmospheric budgets of greenhouse gases such as CH₄ and tropospheric ozone, it has a significant indirect warming potential.

A complete understanding of the modern CO budget and its evolution in relation to past climate variability and recent anthropogenic influences is thus required for climate-chemistry models. Improving our understanding of the sensitivity of these models requires them to be evaluated over a variety of past climatic conditions. Martinerie et al. (1995) suggested that changes in the oxidative capacity of the atmosphere (i.e., the levels of atmospheric OH radicals) were mainly driven by CO levels during pre-industrial times. However, reliable records of past atmospheric CO at glacial–interglacial time scales are lacking, thus introducing uncertainties in models investigating climate evolution between the last glacial period, the pre-industrial Holocene, and the modern era. Such records would help, for example, to better assess the poorly constrained balance between changes in sources and sinks driving rises of atmospheric CH₄ during past glacial–interglacial transitions (e.g., Valdes et al., 2005).

The short lifetime of CO, combined with significant variability in the spatial distribution of its sources, results in large variations of atmospheric CO levels according to latitude. The present day annual mean CO concentrations at Summit (Greenland) and the South Pole (Antarctic) are ~120 and ~50 ppbv respectively (http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/dv/data/). The lifetime of CO is estimated to be in the range of 20–40 days in the tropics and up to three months in polar areas (Duncan et al., 2007). Sources of CO are qualitatively known, but still poorly quantified. In situ atmospheric production by CH₄ and VOCs (volatile organic compounds, including isoprene and terpenes) oxidizing represents ~50% of the sources. Carbon monoxide is also directly emitted to the atmosphere by biomass burning, fossil fuel combustion, and vegetation and ocean degassing (Duncan et al., 2007). Systematic monitoring of atmospheric CO, initiated by NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) in 1988, revealed a global decrease of tropospheric CO by ~0.5 ppbv yr⁻¹ between 1988 and 1996 (Novelli et al., 1998).

Ancient air preserved in glacial ice and firn is thus a unique archive to reconstruct the past atmospheric CO record. The firn is the upper layer of an ice sheet where snow transforms into ice. A large amount of air can be sampled from the interconnected open pores. Mean ages of atmospheric gas increase with firn depth. CO has only been measured in firn air at a few Northern Hemisphere sites, including Summit, the North Greenland Ice Core Project (NGRIP), and the North Greenland Eemian drilling site (NEEM) (Petrenko et al., 2013). The three Greenland records allowed for a 60 yr reconstruction of atmospheric CO and revealed a peak of ~155 ppbv during the late 1970s. Isotopic measurements of the NEEM firn air suggested this pattern was driven by a change in CO emissions derived from fossil fuel combustion (Wang et al., 2012).

While firn air data provide a robust reconstruction of atmospheric CO in the Northern Hemisphere since 1950, the only data sets that extend beyond this date are from the pioneering studies on ice core samples by Haan et al. (1996) and Haan and Raynaud (1998). These studies found CO increased gradually from ~90 ppbv in 1850 AD to 110 ppbv in ~1950 AD and that CO levels stabilized at ~90 ppbv from 1625 to 1850 AD. Air older than 1600 AD (>167 m depth) exhibited higher variability and elevated CO levels (100–180 ppbv) with parallel anomalies in the CO₂ record. This section of the Greenland Eurocore record is thought to reflect in situ CO production rather than an atmospheric signal. Several ice core and firn studies have also been conducted in Antarctica (Haan and Raynaud, 1998; Ferretti et al., 2005; Assonov et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2010). Wang et al. (2010) clearly demonstrated the potential of measuring CO in ice archives and widened our knowledge of the variability of the past biogeochemical CO cycle by measuring both mixing and isotopic ratios from Antarctic ice cores. They concluded that significant variations in biomass burning occurred during the last 650 yr, including a decrease of 50% in the 1600s. However, due to the short CO lifetime, such Antarctic reconstructions are partially decoupled from Northern Hemisphere atmospheric CO history, and thus reliable Greenland CO records are needed.

Ice core CO concentrations are typically determined by gas chromatography using a mercuric oxide detector (Haan et al., 1996; Haan and Raynaud, 1998) or a mass spectrometer (Wang et al., 2010) combined with wet or dry extractions of the trapped gases from discrete pieces of ice (Ferretti et al., 2005). Such protocols are time consuming and result in limited time-resolution reconstructions. Furthermore, the low resolution is not optimal for investigation of in situ production processes; a single measurement averages CO concentrations over a depth of typically 5 cm, while in situ production could be restricted to thinner ice layers.

In the framework of the recent NEEM (77.45° N, 51.06° W) drilling project, a new technique allowing for rapid and high-resolution measurement of methane (CH₄) in ice cores was developed (Stowasser et al., 2012). Gas released from bubbles in the ice is continuously extracted and CH₄ levels are detected in real time using laser spectroscopy, while the liquid phase sample is directed to the continuous flow analysis (CFA) setup for chemical analysis (Kaufmann et al., 2008; Bigler et al., 2011). This technique enabled the reconstruction of an atmospheric CH₄ record of unprecedented resolution spanning the last deglaciation and glaciations back to Marine Isotope Stage 5d in a single field season (i.e., 2.5 months) (Chappellaz et al., 2013).

In this study, we report the first CO measurements from an ice core based on the coupling of continuous extraction and laser spectrometry. CO was continuously measured on the 340 m long NEEM-2011-S1 ice core along with CH₄.
concentrations (Rhodes et al., 2013) and a suite of chemical species. The objective of this study was to reconstruct an atmospheric history for CO over the past 1800 yr by taking advantage of the high resolution measurements to distinguish between depth sections impacted by in situ CO production and sections preserving the atmospheric record.

2 Methods

2.1 NEEM-2011-S1 ice core drilling

The NEEM-2011-S1 ice core described in this study was drilled in northern Greenland to a depth of 410 m. The borehole location was 200 m from the main NEEM borehole (77.45° N, 51.06° W; elevation: 2450 m; mean annual temperature: −21 °C). Drill fluid (Estisol 240 and Coasol) was used to reduce strain during core drilling below 80 m depth. Two parallel sticks were cut from the ice core for continuous analysis (M and B cut, each 55 × 3.4 × 3.4 cm).

2.2 Detecting CO with OF-CEAS

Gas extracted from the ice core was measured with a laser spectrometer SARA developed at Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire de Physique (LIPhy, Grenoble, France) and based on Optical Feedback–Cavity Enhanced Absorption Spectroscopy (OF-CEAS; Morville et al., 2005; Romanini et al., 2006). Briefly, the OF-CEAS analyzer used here measures CO, CH4, and H2O by fitting the sample gas absorption spectrum obtained in the 2325.1–2325.5 nm wavelength range. During this measurement campaign the rate of OF-CEAS spectrum acquisition was 6 Hz. This SARA instrument is specifically adapted for ice core analysis where only limited volumes of gas are available. The analyzer has a 12 cm3 cavity, which is maintained at 20 mbar to create an effective cavity volume of only 0.24 cm3 at STP. Even at the low gas flow rates from continuous ice core analysis (typically 1.5 sccm with our CFA setup) the theoretical sample transit time in the cavity remains low (10 s). The CFA setup therefore introduces a significantly higher degree of signal smoothing than the SARA instrument itself (Sect. 2.4).

A 2 µm filter (ZUFR1, VICI AG, Switzerland) was connected on the gas line upstream of the SARA instrument to prevent dust particles from entering the optical cavity and depositing on the high reflectivity mirrors. A stable ringdown value of > 40 µs (i.e., an optical path length of > 18 km) was maintained throughout the measurement campaign.

The OF-CEAS analyzer was carefully calibrated before melting the NEEM-2011-S1 core (see Supplement). However, we identified a small CO contamination from the mass flow controller (MFC, 5850E, Brooks Instrument, Hatfield USA) used to regulate the standard gas flow provided to the laser spectrometer during calibration. Measurement series (10 min each) of gas standards 1 and 2 (Table 1) with a constant flow of 1.5 sccm showed an increase of 4 ± 1 ppbv of CO when controlled by the MFC, compared to a direct connection to the cylinder regulator. This degree of contamination from the MFC should be independent of standard gas composition.

2.3 Continuous flow analysis of the gas phase

2.3.1 System operation

A detailed description of the continuous gas flow analysis method and integration of the gas system into the continuous melter system at the Desert Research Institute (DRI) for the NEEM-2011-S1 analysis is provided by Stowasser et al. (2012) and Rhodes et al. (2013). Briefly, ice core sticks were melted at a mean rate of 6 cm min−1 and the water and gas bubble mixture was pumped via a debubbler and open split into a gas extraction unit. The gas was extracted by applying a pressure gradient across a gas-permeable membrane (MicroModule 0.5 × 1, G591, Membrana GmbH, Germany, internal volume 5.4 mL) and then dried by a Nation (Perma Pure) dryer. After each run of ~ 8 m of ice core was completed, a four-port valve underneath the melter head was switched to provide a synthetic air–water (10 : 90) mixture to the gas analysis system. For this purpose, an in-house deionized (DI) water supply was degassed continuously by mixing it with ultra-pure N2 and passing it through another gas permeable membrane module. Note that this configuration involving continuous flow of in-house DI water is different from the loop modes described in the following section. Melting of M-cut ice sticks proceeded from bottom to top of the core and selected B-cut sticks were analyzed as replicates.

2.3.2 Loop modes: mixing gas standards and degassed water

The NEEM-2011-S1 methane record reported by Rhodes et al. (2013) was corrected for a ~7 % loss of methane due to dissolution in the sample stream. The CH4 loss was quantified by introducing a 10/90 mixture of synthetic air and DI

| Table 1. CO concentrations of synthetic air standards and mean values of measurements conducted on dry gas introduced via the internal loop and via the full loop. Each value is the mean of several repeated measurements (n = 2–19) conducted throughout the analytical campaign, where each individual measurement has an integration time of 10 min. The stated uncertainty is 1 standard deviation. Synthetic air standards were calibrated by the NOAA GMD (Global Monitoring Division) Carbon Cycle Group and are reported on the NOAA/WMO 2004 scale. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                 | NOAA certified  | Internal loop   | Full loop       |
| Standard 1      | 50.2 ± 0.7      | 70.0 ± 5.9 (n = 9) | 104.3 ± 7.9 (n = 19) |
| Standard 2      | 95.4 ± 0.9      | 106.0 ± 3.5 (n = 2) | 128.8 ± 6.1 (n = 4) |
degassed water (from an \( \text{N}_2 \)-purged 2 L reservoir) into the system via a four-port valve directly after the melter head (see Fig. 1 in Rhodes et al., 2013). The air–water mixture then followed the same path through the system as the ice core sample before being analyzed by the laser spectrometer. This sample pathway was called the “full loop”. Results from analysis of the full loop are presented here and used in an attempt to quantify both solubility and contamination effects on CO levels (see Sect. 2.3.4).

A second pathway for introduction of synthetic standard gas called the “internal loop” involved a 50/50 gas standard/degassed water mixture generated inside the gas extraction unit and was used to isolate the continuous flow gas setup from the remainder of the DRI melter system. The objective was to maintain a constant gas/water mixture supply to the extraction module and therefore a constant gas flow to the OF-CEAS spectrometer.

### 2.3.3 Precision of CO analysis

Allan variance (Allan, 1966) evaluation was conducted for direct measurements of dry gas standard, as well as measurements on gas standard mixed with DI water in both internal- and full-loop modes (Fig. 1).

A precision of 0.05 ppbv is reached at the optimal integration time of 6 min when dry gas is measured directly. Optimal integration time for measurements made in the internal- and full-loop modes are 44 and 5 s respectively, with corresponding precisions of 0.13 and 0.30 ppbv. Allan variance analysis therefore shows that the OF-CEAS analyzer is very stable and that the continuous melting and gas extraction systems introduce drift and noise to the measurement. The achievable precision is very satisfactory for our study.

We observed relatively good reproducibility in the internal and full loop throughout the analytical campaign – e.g., 8.4 % relative standard deviation (RSD) for the internal loop (\( n = 9 \)). However, both the internal- and full-loop measurements are consistently higher than the NOAA-certified CO concentrations (Table 1). For the internal loop an excess of 10–20 ppbv CO was recorded and this increased to 35–55 ppbv for the full loop, without accounting for a possible decrease in CO concentrations due to dissolution. We conclude that CO contamination occurs within our analytical setup and that both the gas extraction system and the wider continuous melter system contribute to this contamination.

External precision of the continuous CO measurements (i.e., including all sources of errors or bias) was investigated by melting replicate ice sticks on different days during the analytical campaign. Thirty-three 0.55 m-long ice sticks from the B cut were melted for that purpose. Direct comparison of CO records from these B-cut sticks with data collected along the M cut revealed an excellent repeatability of our measurements, at least for CO concentrations ranging between 120 and 600 ppbv. Figure 2 reports a direct comparison of CO measured along 12 m of parallel B and M cuts, which were processed three days apart. A constant 5 cm offset has been applied on the B-cut depth scale used in Fig. 2. This offset is within the uncertainty range of the NEEM-2011-S1 depth scaling (see Sect. 2.4.2), and this correction is supported by the excellent agreement between CO data collected along both ice sticks. CO concentrations measured on both ice sticks were averaged by binning over 9 cm-long intervals (Fig. 2, inset) and show excellent correlation (\( r^2 = 0.91; p < 0.01 \)) between averaged CO from cut B versus averaged CO from cut M.
CO concentrations over the 153–167 m-depth section of the NEEM-2011-S1 core exhibit highly variable values, which range from 120 to 320 ppbv and exhibit rates of change of up to 14 ppbv cm⁻¹. Figure 2 demonstrates that the analytical system replicates both the amplitude of the CO spikes and the highly dynamic nature of the signal. We calculate a standard deviation (sd) of 7.8 ppbv from the comparison of these two runs (sd calculated on the difference of CO concentrations from cuts M and B, over the 45.9 cm-long intervals represented on the inset of Fig. 2). This can be considered as the external precision of the analytical system and demonstrates the good precision of our measurements. Furthermore, as the two data sets presented in Fig. 2 have not been blank corrected, the excellent agreement between the replicate measurements suggests that the true CO blank of the analytical system is very constant.

2.3.4 Accuracy of CO analysis: an attempt at absolute calibration

In addition to suggesting the procedural blank was constant throughout our analytical campaign, the replicate ice stick measurements (see Sect. 2.3.3) also suggest that any losses of CO related to dissolution in the liquid phase were consistent. However, the magnitude of both the procedural blank and any solubility effect need to be quantified in order to obtain accurate continuous CO concentrations. We therefore explore whether the results from the full-loop mode (see Sect. 2.3.2) can be utilized to constrain the opposing effects of contamination and solubility.

For this purpose, an ideal calibration curve of dry-gas measurement (x axis) vs. full-loop measurements (y axis) would have a slope reflecting dissolution losses and an offset reflecting the degree of contamination from the system. A calibration curve constructed from the full-loop results (Table 1) would suggest a 50% CO loss due to solubility (slope of ~0.5) and an offset (i.e., procedural blank) of ~75 ppbv. The continuous NEEM-2011-S1 methane record suffered a ~7% loss of methane due to dissolution in the sample stream with the DRI CFA setup (Rhodes et al., 2013), a value confirmed by comparison with discrete CH₄ analysis. CO is slightly less soluble in water than CH₄, and thus one would also expect limited (<7%) dissolution of CO between the melter head and the gas extraction membrane.

Note that 7% is lower than the theoretical dissolution of ~14%, a factor expected for CH₄ if equilibrium was reached between liquid and gas phases in a 90/10 mix (16 mL min⁻¹ water and 1.8 mL min⁻¹ gas). It is likely that the transfer time from the melter head to the extraction membrane is too short to reach equilibrium, and that the MicroModule membrane allows for extraction of some of the dissolved gas. We conclude that a 50% dissolution loss estimated by the standard gas data collected in the full-loop mode (Table 1) is unrealistic and cannot be used to set the CO NEEM-2011-S1 record on an absolute concentration scale. This large estimate may alternatively suggest that CO adsorption occurs in the analytical system.

A more realistic estimation of the procedural blank can be obtained by conservatively combining all the full-loop data from standards 1 and 2 to calculate the difference between full-loop and NOAA-certified CO concentrations. This results in a procedural blank of 48 ± 25 ppbv, once contamination produced by the MFC is removed (to avoid correcting twice for this effect) (Sect. 2.2). We note that this calculation does not account for potential CO losses during the standard measurements. To test this result, ultra high purity (UHP) N₂ was mixed with DI water and run through the full loop in September 2013, two years after the NEEM-2011-S1 analysis. Direct measurement of the UHP N₂ on the OF-CEAS analyzer shows CO mixing ratios below detection limit. Gas extraction and analysis in this configuration was repeated three times, and revealed CO mixing ratios ranging between 40 and 50 ppbv. These tests involving UHP N₂ were not carried out during the NEEM-2011-S1 campaign, and thus were not used to calibrate the data. However, they provide an estimation of the CO procedural blank, which agrees well with the value stated above. However, we caution that such tests should ideally be conducted with CO-free air to observe possible effects on CO concentration of interactions between oxygen and the system components.

The principal shortcoming of the continuous measurement technique is the uncertainty regarding the absolute concentration and this is particularly true in the case of the highly contamination-sensitive CO measurement. All the CO data collected along the NEEM-2011-S1 core were corrected for a 48 ppbv procedural CO blank. As the measurements on replicate ice sticks (Sect. 2.3.3) strongly suggest a consistent procedural blank level, we suggest that the large uncertainty in our estimate of the procedural blank from full-loop data (±25 ppbv) reflects variable levels of contamination originating from additional pump, tubing, connectors, etc., which are present in the full loop but not in the sample pathway. Our CO data set has not been adjusted for potential solubility losses, which should be proportional to the concentration. Assuming that CO and CH₄ solubility losses are similar (i.e., lower than 10%), CO concentrations are likely to be underestimated for values well above standard 2 level (95.4 ppbv).

In summary, the continuous high-resolution CO record collected along the NEEM-2011-S1 ice core shows a good precision, but its accuracy and internal consistency are low. Improvement of the absolute calibration would include measuring more standard gases, including an elevated CO concentration standard and CO-zero air. Additionally, comparison with discrete CO analyses is required to confirm a CFA-based calibration. In the following, we focus on a qualitative discussion of the NEEM-2011-S1 CO record, where the precise measurements allow trends to be discussed.
2.4 Data processing and depth scaling

The entire CO data set collected along the NEEM-2011-S1 core was averaged with a 5 s window, i.e., a duration shorter than the sample transit time in the spectrometer cavity (see Sect. 2.2). CH₄ data from NEEM-2011-S1 were screened for ambient air contamination (Rhodes et al., 2013) and any time series removed from the CH₄ data set was also removed from the CO data set. Sections of the CO record affected by water vapor in the cavity are not considered here.

Depth scaling of the CO data collected along the NEEM-2011-S1 core was achieved by using the start/stop times recorded during melting, and by assuming a constant melt rate for each 55 cm core section. We estimated the time delay between the end of ice core melting at the melter head and the response of the CO signal measured by the OF-CEAS spectrometer (2.6–4.3 min range) daily. To do so, we allowed air from the cold room to enter the sampling line at the end of a melting run, which resulted in a clear, sharp methane peak measured on the OF-CEAS spectrometer. The uncertainty revealed by these estimates corresponds to a depth uncertainty of ±0.6 cm (2σ) (equivalent to 0.3 yr in age).

Signal smoothing resulting from memory effects and diffusion was calculated by performing a step test, i.e., a switch between two gas standards of different concentrations, following the methods of Stowasser et al. (2012) and Gkinis et al. (2011). Both CO and CH₄ step patterns were investigated. CO step analysis indicates that 5 min – equivalent to 30 cm of sample length – have to be removed from the start of each 8 m melting run so as to eliminate effects of sample mixing with gas standard in the system. CH₄ step analysis reported previously by Rhodes et al. (2013) indicated that 7 min of data, equivalent to 42 cm sample length, had to be removed from the start of each 8 m melting run so as to eliminate CH₄-related memory effect. CO and CH₄ data from the NEEM-2011-S1 core were processed together, and so 7 min of data from both CH₄ (Rhodes et al., 2013) and CO (this study) were conservatively discarded from the start of each run. The maximum temporal resolution of the CFA system can be evaluated from the transfer function produced by the step test (e.g., Stowasser et al., 2012). At a 6 cm min⁻¹ melt rate a periodic CO signal in the ice with a wavelength of 7 cm depth can still be detected, although it will be significantly dampened (Fig. S1 in the Supplement).

Finally, the NEEM-2011-S1 ice age scale (Sigl et al., 2013) and the modern-day gas-age–ice-age difference (Δage) for NEEM (182 +3/−9 yr, Buizert et al., 2012), considered as constant along the depth range investigated here, were used to generate the gas age scale.

2.5 Chemical analysis

Ice core sample was analyzed continuously by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) and fluorimetry for a broad range of elements and chemical species during the analytical campaign. These analytical methods have been reported previously (McConnell et al., 2002, 2007; McConnell and Edwards, 2008). In this study, we specifically investigated refractory black carbon (rBC) and ammonium (NH₄⁺). Selected ice samples were also measured for discrete chemical analysis at LGGE (Grenoble, France), including DOC, formaldehyde (HCHO), and NH₄⁺. The methods used for these analyses are described in a companion paper (Legrand et al., 2013a).

3 Results and discussion

The full CO record available from the NEEM-2011-S1 core is reported in Fig. 3 and plotted versus the mean gas age. CO levels reconstructed over the last 1800 yr exhibit elevated and highly variable values, which range from 75 to 818 ppbv. One event peaks beyond this range at 1327 ppbv in 1465 AD. In Sect. 3.1 we discuss the baseline levels of the record and the abrupt CO spike patterns observed. The key question of whether an atmospheric signal can be extracted from the low frequency variability of the NEEM-2011-S1 record’s baseline is addressed in Sect. 3.2. In situ production is discussed as the process likely driving the abrupt CO spikes in Sect. 3.3. Finally, Sect. 3.4 investigates possible processes involved in CO in situ production.
3.1 A continuous CO record along the NEEM-2011-S1 core

3.1.1 CO baseline levels

We identified the NEEM-2011-S1 CO baseline by considering only data lower than a cutoff value of running median – 0.5*MAD, where the running median has a window of 15 yr and MAD is the median absolute deviation. A window of 15 yr corresponds to a time interval shorter than the full width at half maximum of the CO age distribution at the NEEM close-off (Fig. S4 in the Supplement in Petrenko et al., 2013). Note that any window length between 10 and 20 yr produces a very similar spike identification. MAD values were calculated separately for the 1680–1950 AD, the 1100–1680 AD, and the 250–1100 AD sections of the record to account for increasing variability in the CO data. The NEEM-2011-S1 CO record exhibits the highest baseline values at the deepest depths analyzed (226 ± 37 ppbv) between 280 and 430 AD (379 to 409 m depth). A decrease of ∼0.05 ppbv yr⁻¹ in baseline CO from the bottom of the NEEM-2011-S1 core to a period of low, relatively stable concentrations occurring between 1700 and 1840 AD can then be observed. Superimposed on this decreasing trend are two features, increasing CO concentrations between 900 and 1000 AD and decreasing CO levels from 1100 to 1200 AD, suggesting a period of higher CO between 900 and 1200 AD. However, we cannot rule out that drilling fluid contamination affected this specific part of the record, which is highlighted with a grey background on Fig. 3 (see Sect. 3.2.1). No data were collected from ice core sticks dated 1000–1100 AD due to analytical problems. Finally, baseline CO shows a rapid increase at a rate of 1 ppbv yr⁻¹ from its minimum in 1840 AD to close-off depth (i.e., 78 m; 1934 AD).

3.1.2 Abrupt CO spikes

Figure 3 also reveals the occurrence of multiple spikes along the NEEM-2011-S1 CO record that are too abrupt and rapid to be preserved atmospheric signals due to the smoothing effect of firn processes (e.g., Schwander et al., 1993). We identify 96 events of highly enhanced CO concentrations (> 2*MAD above 15 yr running median) along the NEEM-2011-S1 record. The occurrence of abrupt CO spikes increased with depth along the NEEM-2011-S1 core, with 29 and 38 spikes observed in the 80–200 m and the 300–400 m depth ranges, respectively. Interestingly, the amplitude of these spikes also increases with depth: the median absolute deviation is 17, 35, and 48 ppbv over the age intervals 1950–1680, 1680–1100, and 1100–250 AD, respectively. Mean CO spike concentrations observed were 120 ± 20, 190 ± 36, and 278 ± 57 ppbv (±1σ) for the age intervals 1950–1680, 1680–1100, and 1100–250 AD, respectively. Similar increasing variability with depth in CO concentration has been reported previously by Haan et al. (1998) over the Eurocore archive.

The true maximum CO mixing ratios in the NEEM-2011-S1 ice are expected to be higher as these CO spikes have been smoothed by the CFA system. A direct comparison between continuous CH₄ measured simultaneously with CO along the NEEM-2011-S1 core, and discrete CH₄ measurement ratios has been reported by Rhodes et al. (2013). These authors demonstrated that continuous measurement could induce a dampening of 38% of the CH₄ amplitude for small-scale (<100 cm depth) features: a specific methane spike of 67 ppb was measured with the CFA system, but discrete measurements resolved a 107 ppb spike. Assuming that similar smoothing affects CO spikes, maximum CO concentrations for the three age intervals described before would be ∼193, ∼306, and ∼448 ppbv, respectively.

The CO spikes are always extremely abrupt (i.e., occurring within 2 yr) and are limited to specific ice layers, suggesting that CO production is related to the chemical or microbiological content of the ice. The horizontal extent of the ice regions showing enhanced CO cannot be quantified. However, similar CO signals (as well as methane spikes, see Rhodes et al., 2013) were recorded in parallel ice core sticks (M and B cuts, e.g., Fig. 2) encompassing a horizontal distance of 6.8 cm.

3.2 Comparison with central Greenland CO records

Figure 3 provides a direct comparison of the NEEM-S1-2011 and the Eurocore (Haan and Raynaud, 1998) records for the last millennium (i.e., 1200–1950 AD). Eurocore was drilled at Summit, central Greenland (75.58° N, 37.54° W; elevation: 3240 m; mean annual temperature: −32 °C). Before 1600 AD, the Eurocore CO record exhibits elevated and variable CO levels (100–180 ppbv) attributed to in situ production (Haan and Raynaud, 1998). The Eurocore CO is stable at ∼90 ppbv between 1600 and 1850 AD and increases from 90 to 110 ppbv between 1850 and 1950 AD. From 250 to 1680 AD, baseline NEEM-2011-S1 CO concentrations are higher than those observed on the Eurocore for the stable 1600–1850 AD period, and even higher than those reported recently by direct atmospheric monitoring conducted at remote northern latitudes (see Sect. 1). NEEM-2011-S1 CO levels before 1680 AD are also almost always higher than the value of ∼158 ppbv – the peak in CO concentrations reconstructed over Greenland using three different firn air archives (Petrenko et al., 2013). Between 1680 and 1950 AD, the agreement between NEEM-2011-S1 baseline and Eurocore CO records improves. Specifically, the mean NEEM-2011-S1 CO is 100 ± 16 ppbv between 1600 and 1850 and increases from ∼90 to ∼120 ppbv between 1850 and 1950 AD. Thus, the NEEM-2011-S1 data reproduce the increasing trend in CO concentration starting in 1850 AD revealed by the Eurocore data.

In summary, the most recent 300 yr section of the NEEM-2011-S1 baseline CO record mirrors the trends (if not the absolute values) in the past atmospheric CO signal, specifically the 1850–1950 AD increase in concentration. Despite this
promising trend, the NEEM-2011-S1 data are highly variable and it is difficult to isolate a baseline that we can confidently interpret as the atmospheric CO history because the abrupt CO spikes are so prevalent.

3.3 Is the CO variability driven by drilling and post-drilling processes?

3.3.1 Impact of drilling fluid

Fluid that is rich in organic compounds (Estisol 240 and Coasol) was used during the drilling of the NEEM-2011-S1 core at depths below 80 m. Thus, one needs to investigate if the CO patterns observed along the NEEM-2011-S1 core, i.e., both spikes and an elevated baseline compared to previous studies, are related to contamination from the drilling fluid. A test involving analysis of air inside the head space of an Estisol 240 drill fluid container recorded excess CO concentrations of 700 ppbv. Interestingly, the same test recorded an Estisol 240 drill fluid container recorded excess CO concentrations of 700 ppbv. Interestingly, the same test recorded an excess CH\textsubscript{4} concentration of only 40 ppbv, confirming the more limited impact of drilling fluid on methane measurements (Rhodes et al., 2013). To characterize how drilling fluid may have disturbed the CO record, we performed DOC analysis on a unique sample that was slightly cracked and therefore likely to contain drill fluid residue. We observed DOC concentrations > 600 ppbC – an elevated value confirming contamination. Each NEEM-2011-S1 ice stick was thus inspected for fractures before melting and affected sections were removed prior to melting. However, a continuous, 43 m section of the core (249–292 m depth) showed many drilling cracks and was analyzed (grey shading on Fig. 3). Over this interval, the NEEM-2011-S1 record shows an increase in CO baseline concentrations and other chemical tracers also showed unusual baseline levels. Unfortunately, the gas record from this section dates from the medieval climatic optimum, a period of warmer climate and a change in fire regime (Marlon et al., 2008), where CO investigation would have been particularly pertinent. We note that these cracks did not alter the CH\textsubscript{4} record preserved in the NEEM-2011-S1 core, as evidenced by the good agreement between NEEM-2011-S1 and GISP2 discrete CH\textsubscript{4} data (Rhodes et al., 2013).

Aside from this troublesome section of ice core, the physical quality of the core increased with depth. The frequency and magnitude of CO spikes were also observed to increase with depth, suggesting these trends are not related to drill fluid. DOC analysis shows very low concentrations on uncracked samples – typically lower than 20 ppbC (see Sect. 3.4.1). Furthermore, excellent repeatability was observed in parallel M and B ice sticks (Fig. 2). We thus conclude that CO contamination induced by drilling fluid only affected the 249–292 m depth section, and cannot explain the variability observed at other depths along the NEEM-2011-S1 record.

3.3.2 Does continuous laboratory melting drive CO production?

Haan et al. (2001) observed CO production within an alpine snowpack under light conditions, but no CO production during dark conditions. In water of the upper St. Lawrence estuarine system, Zhang et al. (2008) observed that dark and photolytic production of CO are the same order of magnitude. To evaluate the hypothesis that CO variability observed along the NEEM-2011-S1 core may be related to photolytically driven CO production during the melting and concomitant extraction of precursors that exist in the ice, 4 m of ice from a core drilled without fluid at the D4 site (Greenland: 2713 m a.s.l.; 71.4\textdegree N, 44.0\textdegree W) were melted in September 2013 on the DRI continuous melting system, in conditions similar to those used two years earlier for the NEEM-2011-S1 core. Ice sticks parallel to these 4 m were melted two weeks later with all laboratories kept in darkness. Both CO records obtained during regular melting and dark melting are shown on Fig. S2 in the Supplement.

Although we report here only 4 m of continuous CO measurements along the D4 core, these data show similarities with the NEEM-2011-S1 record. Large and abrupt increases in CO are observed, i.e., CO increases by ~ 170 ppbv within a 30 cm depth range at 103.5 m depth. We thus suggest that similar artifacts involving CO production during melting should affect both the NEEM-2011-S1 and the D4 ice. A constant 5 cm offset has been applied to the dark-record depth scale used on Fig. S2 in the Supplement. CO concentrations measured on both parallel ice sections were averaged by binning over 5 cm-long intervals (Fig. S2 in the Supplement, inset) and show excellent correlation ($r^2 = 0.88$, $p < 0.01$) between averaged CO from light melting versus averaged CO from dark melting. Light and dark melting conditions produced similar CO patterns, providing evidence that the CO spikes do not involve photolytic production occurring in the sampling lines between the melter head and the CFA extraction box. To further investigate if the CFA analytical system could somehow induce CO production within the melted ice, a melted D4 sample was collected after gas extraction (i.e., downstream of the MicroModule membrane) and recirculated within one hour as degassed blank water in the full-loop mode. We observed similar CO levels to the DI water blank. Finally, although we cannot fully exclude that CO production occurs after ice melting, the unknown processes involved would require fast kinetics (< 3 min) and would be independent of light.

It is also unlikely that CO would be produced within ice during core storage. The NEEM-S1-2011 was analyzed only three months after drilling, and already showed abrupt CO spikes. On the other hand, the D4 ice was analyzed ten years after drilling, and although we analyzed and discuss here only a 4 m depth range, it does not reveal CO mixing ratios higher than found in the NEEM-2011-S1 record. One could also note that Haan et al. (1996) found no in situ production
in the shallow Eurocore ice while measuring samples 5 yr after the Eurocore drilling. Finally, we conclude that abrupt CO spikes observed along the NEEM-2011-S1 record are likely the result of in situ production that occurs in the glacier itself.

3.4 Investigating processes driving CO in situ production

3.4.1 Comparing CO and DOC levels: a case study

Oxidation of organic material produced in biomass burning plumes, and later deposited to the Greenlandic ice cap, has been suggested as an explanation for the elevated and variable CO concentrations along the Eurocore (Haan and Raynaud, 1998). As a first attempt to relate the ice chemical composition and the CO spike pattern, we selected a core section (138.8–140.4 m depth) showing elevated NH$_4^+$, i.e., enriched with pyrogenic aerosols (Savarino and Legrand, 1998), for an extended measurement array of inorganic and organic compounds and fractions. As a reference, similar analyses were conducted on a deeper section of ice containing low levels of NH$_4^+$ (296.3–296.9 m depth, referred to later as the “reference section”). These results are discussed in detail in a companion paper (Legrand et al. 2013a); we only report here discrete analysis of DOC, formaldehyde (HCHO) and NH$_4^+$ (Fig. 4).

The reference ice section, free from pyrogenic aerosols (blue in Fig. 4), exhibits a clear seasonal NH$_4^+$ pattern, with winter concentrations < 5 ppb and summer concentrations in the range of 9 to 12 ppb. Such variations can be explained by seasonal emissions from soils and vegetation (Fuhrer et al., 1996) and are similar to present-day observations at Summit, Greenland (Dibb et al., 2007). DOC and HCHO concentrations do not show such a seasonal contrast, but rather quite low values averaging 17.9 ± 9.2 ppbC, and 2.2 ± 0.5 ppb, respectively. rBC ranges between 4 and 12 ng g$^{-1}$, and CO is 230.7 ± 21.8 ppbv, only slightly above the 224.1 ± 5.2 ppbv 15 yr running median over this depth interval. The pyrogenic-aerosol-enriched layer located at 140.15 m depth (black in Fig. 4) shows clear spikes in both NH$_4^+$ (maximum of 143 ppbv) and DOC (maximum of 116 ppbC). HCHO averages 2.8 ± 0.5 ppb over the section investigated, and rBC ranges between 4 and 16 ng g$^{-1}$. Finally, CO peaks at 84 ppbv above the 15 yr running median as calculated at this depth (Fig. 4).

Although a large fraction of water soluble and insoluble organic carbon may be lost at the surface during post-deposition processes (as reported at the central Greenland station of Summit by Hagler et al., 2007), the amount of DOC still available in the ice (> 100 ppbC) represents an important reservoir of carbon, which can potentially be oxidized to carbon monoxide. The oxidation processes involved are not identified yet, and a better characterization of the speciation of the organic content of the NEEM-2011-S1 ice is required. A first attempt to determine such speciation for the 140.15 m depth layer is reported by Legrand et al. (2013a), and reveals that 80% of the chemical composition of DOC can be identified. Haan et al. (2001) suggested that organic compounds present in snow precipitation are the major substrate for the photochemical CO production observed in freshly fallen alpine snow. Interestingly, photo-induced production of CO within the deep ice cannot be ruled out. A few laboratory studies have suggested that the photodegradation of dissolved organic matter trapped in ice cores by ultraviolet Cerenkov radiation from cosmic muons can account for the anomalous CO and CO$_2$ levels (Colussi and Hoffmann, 2003; Guzman et al., 2007), and the correlation between them (e.g., Haan and Raynaud, 1998).

While DOC shows a clear increase in concentration only in the ice layer affected by biomass burning, HCHO and
rBC exhibit similar patterns in both sections. HCHO is slightly but significantly higher (accuracy of HCHO analysis is 0.1 ppb; Preunkert and Legrand, 2013) in the ice layer affected by biomass burning. Therefore, it is possible that an initial enrichment in HCHO co-located with the NH$_4^+$ peak has diffused within the ice, as suggested by Guerinot (2000). The increase in amplitude of CO spikes with depth suggests that slow kinetic processes may be involved and that CO production is occurring throughout the 410-m-depth core. We hypothesize that HCHO has a limited contribution to the likely CO in situ production within the NEEM-S1-2011 core because it is either absent or has diffused at a depth of only 140 m. Our results therefore do not support a significant role for HCHO, as previously reported in alpine seasonal snow-packs (Haan et al., 2001). Extending continuous HCHO measurements over a longer section including NH$_4^+$ peaks would be required to be conclusive.

Oxidation, possibly photoinduced, of organic compounds initially enclosed in the ice may produce CO in situ (e.g., within the 140.2-m-depth layer), and we know that biomass burning is a source of organic compounds at the NEEM site (Fig. 4; see also Legrand et al., 2013a). We thus suggest that the NEEM-2011-S1 CO record appears to be influenced by pyrogenic aerosol deposition and therefore past fire history.

### 3.4.2 Full record comparison with biomass burning tracers

Both NH$_4^+$ and rBC have been identified as good tracers of biomass burning (Legrand et al., 1992; Savarino and Legrand, 1998; McConnell et al., 2007). We thus investigated co-variations of CO with NH$_4^+$ and rBC along the entire NEEM-2011-S1 core to better evaluate how past fire history might drive the highly variable CO record. Figure 5 reports NH$_4^+$ and rBC concentrations for two deep core sections showing enhanced CO levels, at depth intervals of 317.7–318.5 and 384.6–385.7 m, respectively. These two sections reveal different patterns. At 318.2 m depth, CO increases up to 600 ppbv, but NH$_4^+$ and rBC remain at relatively low levels (8.6 ppbv and 2.6 ng g$^{-1}$, respectively); conversely we observed at 385.2 m depth a concomitant increase of CO, NH$_4^+$, and rBC, reaching 800 ppbv, 83 ppb, and 32 ng g$^{-1}$, respectively. As discussed in Sect. 2.5.3, the uncertainty on the gas-data depth scaling is about 6 cm. Such uncertainty explains the slight depth misalignment between CO and liquid phase records (i.e., NH$_4^+$ and rBC) at 385.2 m depth, although it cannot line up the CO peak observed at 318.2 m with any increases in NH$_4^+$ or rBC. The extensive study by Savarino and Legrand (1998) concluded that an NH$_4^+$ spike above a threshold value of 18 ppb in the Eurocore archive unambiguously identified a pyrogenic-aerosol-rich layer. While the CO spike observed at 385.2 m depth is observed in an ice layer enriched by pyrogenic aerosol ([NH$_4^+$] < 18 ppb), the CO increase occurring at 318.2 m depth cannot be related to any existing tracer of a biomass-burning event. This suggests that not all CO enhancements along the NEEM-S1-2011 are driven by the same process.

We calculated NH$_4^+$ and rBC enhancements above their median values (median values estimated from the complete data set, 4.1 ppbv for NH$_4^+$ and 2.3 ng g$^{-1}$ for rBC) during the 96 enhanced-CO events. Figure 6 plots CO versus NH$_4^+$ and rBC during these enhanced-CO events. We further identified CO spikes related to biomass-burning events by considering NH$_4^+$ above the biomass-burning threshold value of 18 ppb identified by Savarino and Legrand (1998). Interestingly, this
analysis reveals that 32% of the elevated-CO events occurred in ice layers devoid of pyrogenic aerosols as traced by rBC and NH$_4^+$ (e.g., the event observed at 318.2 m depth, Fig. 5). Furthermore, when considering the 68% of events associated with biomass-burning-related aerosol deposition, no significant correlations between CO and rBC or NH$_4^+$ can be observed. Neither NH$_4^+$ or rBC showed a monotonic increasing trend with depth, as observed for CO.

Finally, investigating high resolution CO, NH$_4^+$ and rBC data confirms that multiple processes are involved in the CO production within the NEEM-2011-S1 ice core. Abrupt CO spikes may be related to pyrogenic-aerosols deposition, but other factors controlling the substrate availability or oxidation pathway may also exist.

### 3.4.3 Comparison with CO record from an alpine core

We investigated CO, NH$_4^+$, and DOC from ice cores drilled at a mid-latitude site to ascertain whether similar relationships between ice chemistry and in situ CO production that are observed along the NEEM-2011-S1 core also occur in other glaciers. Col du Dome (CDD) is an elevated (4250 m a.s.l.) site located in the vicinity of the Mont Blanc summit in France. Eleven discrete samples were analyzed in 2001 from the C10 and C11 cores for CO concentrations, following the protocol of Haan et al. (1996). NH$_4^+$ and DOC were analyzed in the CDK core (Preunkert and Legrand, 2013) and reported as splined trends in Table 2 to account for uncertainties in relative depth scaling between CDK and C10/C11 cores, respectively.

Two summertime and two wintertime ice layers were identified using NH$_4^+$ and were analyzed for CO (Table 2). We specifically investigated winter 1975 and summer 1976. CO concentrations in summer layers were about one order of magnitude higher compared to winter layers, with summer levels always above 1000 ppbv and winter levels in the range of 300 to 500 ppbv. Along the 340 m-long NEEM-2011-S1 core, which spans the last 1800 yr and was continuously analyzed, only 11 CO spikes with concentrations > 500 ppbv were identified, and only one event showed CO > 1000 ppbv. In situ production of CO is clearly enhanced in the mid-latitude, alpine CDD ice compared to the high-latitude, polar NEEM ice. These high CO levels in the CDD ice were observed only ~ 15 m below the close-off depth. We suggest that slow kinetic processes may build up CO with depth in the NEEM ice but in situ production at CDD happens much faster.

The reason for a higher production of CO at CDD may include surface melting and percolation. Liquid-phase chemical production of CO may occur and explain the higher CO concentrations in summer layers compared to winter layers. However, refrozen layers and melt water percolation within CDD ice cores occur only in summer and are limited to a few centimeters, corresponding to a few weeks of accumulation (Preunkert et al., 2000, and references therein). Another possible explanation is the presence of much more organic material in the CDD ice compared to the NEEM ice. We found 116 ppbC of DOC in a NEEM-2011-S1 layer affected by deposition of pyrogenic aerosols. DOC in CDD ice increases by about a factor of two between winter and summer and its decadal averaged levels have doubled since the early 1900s (Legrand et al., 2013b). Thus, one could argue that more CO can be produced if more DOC is available (i.e., just below the close-off), but similarly to the NEEM-2011-S1 record we expect more CO at deeper levels considering that CO in situ production is driven by slow kinetic processes. Overall, these data confirm that CO in situ production can be greatly enhanced in middle-latitude glaciers. More analysis is required to understand how and why the processes of CO production may differ at different sites.

**Table 2. CO concentration measured at 11 depths in the C10 and C11 Col du Dome cores. DOC and NH$_4^+$ were measured in the CDK core, also drilled at Col du Dome. We report splined NH$_4^+$ and DOC data according to Preunkert and Legrand (2013), to represent seasonal averages. Dating of the C10, C11, and CDK cores has been reported by Preunkert et al. (2000) and Legrand et al. (2007), respectively.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Ice age (AD)</th>
<th>CO (ppb)</th>
<th>NH$_4^+$ (ppb) spline</th>
<th>DOC (ppbC) spline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C10</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>1063 ± 16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10</td>
<td>73.15</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>1196 ± 25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10</td>
<td>73.20</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>3790 ± 7</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10</td>
<td>73.27</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>3463 ± 130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11</td>
<td>109.3</td>
<td>1939–1947</td>
<td>3801 ± 76</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>1975/1976</td>
<td>377 ± 11</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>1975/1976</td>
<td>473 ± 7</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11</td>
<td>126.7</td>
<td>1912–1925</td>
<td>359 ± 9</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11</td>
<td>136.6</td>
<td>&lt;1910</td>
<td>1590 ± 42</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11</td>
<td>137.5</td>
<td>&lt;1910</td>
<td>2616 ± 87</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**4 Conclusions**

For the first time, a high-resolution, continuous profile of carbon monoxide concentrations has been measured along a 340 m-long ice core, the NEEM-2011-S1 archive. The measurements along the complete ice core were carried out in only a few weeks by coupling an OF-CEAS laser spectrometer with a continuous melting system. We demonstrate the good external precision (7.8 ppbv) of this continuous CO analysis, based on comparison of replicate ice core sticks. However, the accuracy needs to be improved by the optimization of our calibration methods for future applications of this method. The elevated procedural CO blanks of the system and the lack of correction for CO losses currently preclude reconstruction of past absolute atmospheric CO concentrations from ice cores minimally affected by in situ
production (e.g., Antarctica ice samples). Continuous measurements should be performed together with discrete analyses to allow validation of any future attempt at absolute calibration. The system is suitable for identifying ice layers that are promising targets of more accurate discrete measurements of CO and possibly CO isotopes.

The NEEM-2011-S1 CO record exhibits concentrations ranging from 75 to 1327 ppbv, which are too high and variable to be interpreted as changes in atmospheric CO. The baseline concentration trends reconstructed over the period spanning 1650–1950 AD, however, agree with CO signals retrieved from the discontinuous Eurocore CO profile (Haan and Raynaud, 1998). The good reproducibility obtained from parallel ice sticks suggest that the NEEM-2011-S1 highly variable CO patterns do exist in the ice itself, although the unlikely possibility of rapid CO production in the meltwater stream cannot be excluded.

Sixty-eight percent of the elevated CO spikes along the NEEM-2011-S1 core were observed in thin ice layers enriched with the pyrogenic aerosols rBC and NH$_4$+NEEM-2011-S1 core). Such aerosols, originating from boreal biomass-burning emissions, contain an important organic fraction. Oxidation, possibly photoinduced dissociation, of organic compounds initially enclosed in the ice may produce CO in situ, resulting in the elevated CO spikes. However, the NEEM-2011-S1 record also reveals an increase with depth in baseline CO levels and the baseline level remains high for ice layers depleted of DOC. Although oxidation of organic matter is a likely mechanism for CO in situ production, it is probably not the only mechanism involved. Finally we suggest that biomass burning partially drives the in situ production of CO in the NEEM-2011-S1 core, but our study also highlights the complexity of the processes leading to in situ production of CO in ice cores.

Investigation of Greenland archives is necessary to document past atmospheric CO from the Northern Hemisphere. We advocate prioritizing measurements at Greenland sites where accumulation rates are high. Thick winter layers with low concentrations of organic precursors should result in minimal in situ CO production. Coupled with a suite of chemical analyses, such records should enable in situ-produced CO and the atmospheric CO signal preserved in the ice to be disentangled. However, future studies may need to better characterize the likely processes involved in CO in situ production. Finally, Antarctic ice archives with low impurity levels should also be investigated, as the ice may contain an unperturbed atmospheric record of carbon monoxide representative of the Southern Hemisphere.
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