The LGM surface climate and atmospheric circulation over East Asia and the North Pacific in the PMIP2 coupled model simulations
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Abstract. The surface conditions and atmospheric circulation over East Asia and the North Pacific during the last glacial maximum have been investigated using outputs from several coupled atmosphere-ocean general circulation model in the PMIP2 database. During the boreal summer, the weakening of the high pressure system over the North Pacific and less precipitation over East Asia are found in most models. The latter can be attributed to reduced moisture transport. During the boreal winter, an intensification of the Aleutian low and southward shift of the westerly jet stream in the upper troposphere are found in most models.

Some of the results in the present study seem to be consistent with the paleoclimatic reconstructions in the previous studies: pollen and lake-status records suggest dry climate over East Asia during the last glacial maximum, and part of the dust record has a signal that the East Asian winter monsoon was more strong and the westerly jet stream in the upper troposphere was further south during the LGM than at the present day. This result confirms that a coupled atmosphere-ocean general circulation model is a promising tool to understand not only the global climate but also the regional climate in the past.

1 Introduction

The paleoclimatic reconstruction shows that the last glacial maximum (LGM) was characterized by global atmospheric change due to large ice sheets over the high-latitude continents and reduced atmospheric CO2 concentration. Some paleoclimatic reconstructions also show that the regional atmosphere at LGM was different from that at the present day (PD). While North American, European, and the Atlantic region are considered to be directly influenced by the ice-sheet and modified thermohaline circulation, East Asian and the North Pacific region also appears to have experienced a regional climate change in spite of the distance from the ice-sheets. For example, according to the analysis and interpretation of the dust record over China (Donghui, 2004), the westerly jet stream in the upper troposphere over East Asia during the LGM was further south than at the PD. In addition, the lower-troposphere northerly wind associated with the East Asian winter monsoon was intensified during the LGM. Taken together, these characteristics imply that the circulation was regionally modified. The regional climate change over East Asia and the North Pacific is also reflected in the dry/wet signal that can qualitatively be estimated from the pollen-based vegetation and lake status records. During the LGM, Eastern China was characterized by steppe or desert vegetation, and seems to have experienced a dry climate (Kohfeld and Harrison, 2000; Yu et al., 2000). Although there are no records associated with dry/wet signal over the North Pacific, the record over western North America, that is the area closest to the eastern North Pacific, experienced a wetter climate than the PD (Kohfeld and Harrison, 2000). However, since the number of sampling sites and the physical variables of the geological record are limited, the entire regional climatic structure cannot be obtained. Thus, the dynamic relation among the atmospheric and oceanic variables is not clear.

General circulation models (GCM) are a useful tool for investigating the horizontal distribution of atmospheric variables, if it can successfully reproduce the climatic condition during the LGM that are estimated based on the geological record. In GCM simulations, the prescribed boundary conditions are the ice sheet distribution and the atmospheric CO2 concentration estimated from the geological record, and the solar insolation calculated based on Berger (1978). In the late 20th century, LGM experiments were performed using
atmospheric GCM (AGCM) in which the sea surface temperature (SST) estimated from the geological record is also prescribed.

It is interesting to note that most of these AGCM experiments show that the atmospheric circulation anomaly over the North Pacific during the LGM is cyclonic during both the boreal summer and winter (Kutzbach and Wright, 1985; Rind, 1987; Hall et al., 1996; Dong and Valdes, 1998; Vettoretti et al., 2000); a cyclonic anomaly over the North Pacific implies a weakening in the high sea level pressure (SLP) during the summer, while it implies the intensification of the low SLP during the winter.

There have also been some experiments using AGCM coupled with a slab (or mixed-layer) ocean in which the model only calculates the change in the heat budget through the atmosphere-ocean interface but not the change in the oceanic circulation. The cyclonic LGM anomaly over the North Pacific during the boreal summer is also seen in such experiments (e.g. Dong and Valdes, 1998; Vettoretti et al., 2000), while the winter anomaly shows different tendencies: an anti-cyclonic anomaly in Broccoli and Manabe (1987) and Dong and Valdes (1998) and no clear anomaly in Vettoretti et al. (2000). In AGCM simulations, not only is there a lower tropospheric circulation but a precipitation pattern that is different from that at the PD. During the LGM, it has been shown that the precipitation over East Asia remarkably decreases during the boreal summer at LGM (Kutzbach et al., 1998).

In recent years, some experiments using a coupled atmosphere-ocean GCM (CGCM) have been reported by Bush and Philander (1999), Kitoh and Murakami (2001a), Hewitt et al. (2003), Kim et al. (2003), and Shin et al. (2003). However, most of these studies only reported the annual average showing that the circulation anomaly over the North Pacific was cyclonic and the precipitation was reduced over East Asia. Only Shin et al. (2003) shows the seasonal anomaly during the LGM. This result suggests that the circulation anomaly over the North Pacific was cyclonic during the boreal summer and uncertain during the winter, while the precipitation over East Asia decreased. Thus, more samples of AGCM experiments are necessary to discuss whether there is consistent tendency in the surface climate and the atmospheric circulation over East Asia and the North Pacific during the summer and winter. In terms of the water budget, it would also be interesting to examine the water vapor and lower tropospheric circulation obtained from many of the CGCMs.

Recently, the Paleoclimate Modeling Intercomparison Project Phase 2 (PMIP2) has defined a standard forcing for the LGM experiment in order to allow the various CGCMs to be compared and validation using the paleoclimatic reconstructions (e.g. Masson-Delmotte et al., 2006; Kageyama et al., 2006; Braconnot et al., 2007; Hargreaves et al., 2007; Roche et al., 2007; Weber et al., 2007). The objective of this study is to investigate the surface climate and atmospheric field over East Asia and the North Pacific during the LGM by comparing the results obtained using the different CGCMs in the PMIP2 database and the paleoclimatic reconstructions. Section 2 describes the experimental design and PMIP2 models. Section 3 gives the climate change over East Asia and the North Pacific during the boreal summer and winter. The results obtained in this study are compared with the previous studies and the paleoclimatic reconstructions in Sect. 4. As well, the water budget during the LGM is examined. Section 5 summarizes the results of this paper.

2 Models and experimental design

The simulation outputs from CGCM stored in the PMIP2 database for both the PD and the LGM experiments were examined. The following forcing and boundary conditions were different during the LGM than the PD: solar insolation, greenhouse gases, and ice-sheet distribution. The solar insolation for the LGM was estimated based on the Earth’s orbital parameters 21 000 years before present (BP). The concentration of atmospheric CO$_2$, which is the most dominant greenhouse gas, was only 185 ppm during the LGM compared with the PD (preindustrial) value of 280 ppm. For both the PD and LGM, the albedo and topography is based on the ice-sheet distribution of the ICE-5G reconstruction (Peltier, 2004), which assumed that large ice-sheets covered the high-latitude North America and Europe during the LGM. The detailed information about the forcing and boundary conditions can be obtained from the PMIP2 web site (http://www-lsce.cea.fr/pmip2/).

The outputs were analyzed from five CGCMs that are currently available in the PMIP2 database: MIROC3.2 (hereafter, referred to as MIROC), CCSM3 (CCSM), FGOALS-1.0g (GOALS), HadCM3M2 (HADCM), and IPSL-CM4-V1-MR (IPSL). In addition to the five CGCMs with full complexity, an intermediate complexity model, ECBILT/CLIO (ECBILT), was analyzed, in which the horizontal resolution and vertical layers are minimized and the dynamics of the atmosphere is quasi-geostrophic.

The output was obtained by running the simulation for 100 years after the major adjustments to the forcings has been made in the atmosphere and ocean surface. The 100-year averaged value is used in this study. The confidence level for the anomaly during the LGM was examined using Student’s t-test with a sample of 25 years. The samples were determined by taking every 4th sample from the 100-year data set for both the PD and the LGM experiments. In order to validate the result of PD simulations, the simulated results were compared with the climatological data by the ERA-40 reanalysis data (Uppala et al., 2005) using averaged monthly data between 1979 and 1999.
3 Results

3.1 Boreal summer

Figure 1 shows the SLP averaged during the boreal summer, which consists of June, July and August, (JJA). Figure 1a shows the multi-model mean SLP for the PD. This result is identical to the high pressure actually observed over the North Pacific (the Pacific high). The calculated SLP anomalies (LGM-PD) are shown in Figs. 1b–g. In each anomaly data, the global average of the data was removed, since only the horizontal contrast of the SLP is required to understand the lower tropospheric circulation in terms of the geostrophic wind balance. During the LGM, the SLP anomalies of the multi-model mean (Fig. 1b) and all the models (Fig. 1c–g) shows negative tendencies over a wide area of the North Pacific \((p < 0.05)\), although the quantitative amplitudes and patterns are somewhat different among the models. This implies

that the high pressures over the North Pacific was weakened during the LGM. This result was also found in the previous AGCM studies.

Figure 2 shows the average boreal summer precipitation. The multi-model mean for the PD (Fig. 2a) reproduces the precipitation field actually observed: the precipitation is heavy over East Asia and the western North Pacific, while it is light over the eastern North Pacific in subtopics and mid-latitudes. Figures 2c–h show the precipitation anomaly during the LGM. Despite the rather large divergence between the models, most of the models seem to suggest that, during the LGM, the precipitation was smaller over East Asia than at the PD, while it was larger over the eastern North Pacific than at the PD. This characteristic is confirmed by the model-mean anomaly shown in Fig. 2b.

Next, the condition over East Asia that is located on the western edge of the weakened Pacific high and is characterized by the reduced precipitation during the LGM is
Fig. 3. Meridional distributions of physical variables over East Asia (averaged between 105°E and 135°E). (a) Meridional wind at 850 hPa (the unit is m/s) during the PD (black lines) and the LGM (gray lines); (b) Meridional wind anomaly (LGM-PD) at 850 hPa (the unit is m/s); (c) Precipitable water (the unit is kg/m²) during the PD (black lines) and the LGM (gray lines); (d) Precipitable water anomaly (the unit is kg/m²).

3.2 Boreal winter

Figure 4 shows the SLP averaged in boreal winter, which consists of December, January, and February (DJF). Figure 4a shows the multi-model mean SLP at the PD. As can be seen in this figure, the models reproduce a low pressure over the North Pacific (the Aleutian low) and high pressure over Asia (the Siberian high). Figure 4b shows the LGM multi-model mean SLP anomaly, while Figs. 4c–g show the individual model SLP anomaly during the LGM. As can be seen from Figs. 4c–g, all the models show a negative anomaly over the North Pacific \((p < 0.05)\). This implies that the Aleutian low, which was simulated in the PD experiments, was intensified. The same result was seen in many previous AGCM simulations. It should be noted that the quantitative amplitudes and horizontal patterns of the anomalies vary among the models.

Figure 5 shows the average boreal winter precipitation. Figure 5a shows the multi-model mean PD precipitation. From this figure, the models reproduce a zone of heavy precipitation extending along a latitude of about 40°N during the boreal winter. This seems to result from the precipitation associated with the mid-latitude winter storm.
Fig. 4. Same as in Fig. 1 except for DJF.

track. Figure 5b shows the multi-model mean precipitation anomaly during the LGM, while Figs. 5c–h show the individual model precipitation anomaly during the LGM. During the LGM, the multi-model mean precipitation anomaly is positive on the southern and eastern sides of the PD mid-latitude precipitation maximum, but negative on the northern and western sides. The tendency can also be seen in most of the individual model anomalies including the intermediate complexity model, ECBILT. This implies that the mid-latitude precipitation maximum shifted southward and eastward during the LGM. On the other hand, over East Asia, during the LGM, the boreal winter precipitation anomaly is small compared with the boreal summer anomaly. Thus, the annual precipitation anomaly over East Asia is dominantly explained by the summer anomaly.

Figure 6, the meridional distribution of several atmospheric variables over the North Pacific for all the models. The values are averaged for longitudes between 150° E and 150° W. At PD, zonal winds at 500 hPa (Fig. 6a, black lines) are shown by most models as a westerly jet stream axis located between 30° N and 40° N. This is consistent with the ERA-40 reanalysis data (thick solid black line in Fig. 6a). The westerly jet stream in the upper-troposphere corresponds approximately to the meridional temperature gradient under
the thermal wind balance, the storm track, and the mid-latitude precipitation maximum. During the LGM, the magnitude of zonal winds at 500 hPa (gray lines) was larger than that at the PD, and the location of the maximum shifted southward. The southward shift of the jet stream can be seen more apparently in the LGM zonal wind anomalies (Fig. 6b): the anomalies were positive on the southern side of the PD jet stream in most models, while it is negative on the northern side. The anomalies of westerly wind at 850 hPa (Fig. 6c) show the pattern similar to those at 500 hPa but with smaller amplitudes. As seen in Fig. 5, the precipitation anomalies during the LGM (Fig. 6d) increased around 30° N and decreased around 40° N, which is consistent with the zonal wind anomaly at 500 hPa in that the southward shift of the storm-track accompanied by the westerly jet stream results in more precipitation on the southern side of the PD precipitation maximum. Since, during the LGM, the precipitable water (Fig. 6e) decreases over the sub-tropics and mid-latitudes in all the models, the water vapor anomaly does not explain the precipitation anomaly. Instead, the precipitation anomaly seems to be consistent with the interpretation that the dynamic effect such as the storm track is related to the precipitation anomaly. The negative surface air temperature anomaly during the LGM (Fig. 6f) is seen in all the models at latitudes

**Fig. 5.** Same as in Fig. 2 except for DJF.
lower than 50° N and in some models even at the latitudes greater than 50° N. In detail, all the models show largest negative temperature anomaly between 30° N and 50° N, which is located near the westerly jet stream axis in the upper troposphere. Since the thermal wind balance results in the westerly (easterly) vertical-shear anomaly on the southern (northern) side of the largest negative temperature anomaly, this is consistent with the southward shift of the the westerly jet stream in the upper troposphere (Fig. 6b). The largest negative temperature anomaly at the mid-latitudes is more remarkable on the western side of the North Pacific than on the eastern side (not shown).

4 Discussions

4.1 General characteristics during a boreal summer

During a LGM summer, all the CGCMs show a weakening of the Pacific high (Fig. 1). This characteristic is also seen in many AGCM experiments both with prescribed SST
(Kutzbach and Wright, 1985; Rind, 1987; Hall et al., 1996; Dong and Valdes, 1998; Vettoretti et al., 2000) and computed SST using a mixed-layer model (Dong and Valdes, 1998; Vettoretti et al., 2000). Therefore, this seems to be a relatively robust characteristic of LGM simulations using both AGCM and CGCM. Unfortunately, there are few direct geological records that show the tendency of the atmospheric circulation over the ocean.

In most CGCMs, the precipitation over East Asia decreases during the LGM (Fig. 2). This is also seen in the previous experiments by AGCM with prescribed SST (Hall et al., 1996; Dong and Valdes, 1998; Liu et al., 2002) and with computed SST using mixed-layer model (Dong and Valdes, 1998) and by CGCM (Shin et al., 2003). Since the precipitation and its anomaly is dominant in summer over East Asia, this tendency is consistent with the paleoclimatic reconstruction that shows a dry climate over East Asia during the LGM (Kohfeld and Harrison, 2000; Yu et al., 2000). The weakened southerly flow over East Asia (Fig. 3b) seems to be related to a reduction in precipitable water (Fig. 3d) and, thus, precipitation over this region due to a decrease in the southerly moisture transport. In order to confirm this dynamics, the horizontal distributions of the water budgets are examined in Sect. 4.2. On the other hand, the anomaly of southerly flow during the LGM occurs on the western edge of the weakened high pressure over the North Pacific. The reason why the high pressure over the North Pacific is weakened in most LGM simulations should also be determined using a sensitivity experiment and discussed based on theoretical studies on the formation mechanism of subtropical high (e.g. Rodwell and Hoskins, 2001; Miyasaka and Nakamura, 2005) in a future study.

4.2 Water budget analysis during a boreal summer

Section 3.1 showed that the reduction in precipitation over East Asia during JJA was associated with the reduction in southerly wind and less water vapor in this region. However, this explanation does not sufficiently explain the exact water balance over East Asia and the dynamics related to the larger areas. Thus, in this section, the horizontal distribution in the difference of the water budget between the PD and the LGM over East Asia and the North Pacific will be considered. The output from the MIROC simulation, which is performed in Japanese institutes that included the author of this paper, was used. The LGM tendencies of reduction in precipitation, weaker southerly flow, and less water vapor over East Asia during JJA, which are simulated by most of the CGCMs (Figs. 2 and 3), are also found in the MIROC simulation.

The budget for the vertically integrated water vapor, that is precipitable water, \( Q = \int \rho q dz \), is described as
\[
\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} = HADV - PRC\!P + EVAP,
\]
where \( HADV \) is the horizontal advection of water vapor defined as \( \int \nabla \cdot (\rho q \mathbf{V}) dz \), \( PRC\!P \) is the removal by precipitation, and \( EVAP \) is the moisture supply by surface evaporation. Assuming that \( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} \) is negligible for the average from June to August, the relationship that gives the differences between the LGM and the PD in the precipitation (\( \Delta PRC\!P \)), evaporation (\( \Delta EVAP \)) and horizontal advection (\( \Delta HADV \)) is given as
\[
\Delta PRC\!P = \Delta EVAP + \Delta HADV
\]
(2)

Figure 7a shows \( \Delta EVAP \) during JJA. Over East Asia, the evaporation was reduced, which is consistent with a reduction in precipitation in terms of Eq. (2). It should be noted that this result does not imply a simple causality: when the precipitation over a region is reduced by a certain reason, the ground in this region contains less water, which, in turn, results in a reduction in the atmospheric water vapor and precipitation due to the reduction in the evaporation of the ground water to the atmosphere. Over the North Pacific, the evaporation decreases at the latitudes lower than 40° N, while it increases at the higher latitudes. The reduced evaporation over the low-latitude ocean seems to simply reflect the less water vapor held in the atmosphere under the LGM lower atmospheric temperature. On the other hand, the increased evaporation over the high-latitude ocean, is considered to result from the extremely drier atmosphere in this region than expected from a decrease in the atmospheric temperature. This extremely drier atmosphere during the LGM seems to be related to the water vapor transport demonstrated later in Figs. 7b–d. In Fig. 7b, the anomaly of horizontal water vapor advection (\( \Delta HADV \)) shows a contrast between East Asia and the North Pacific, which is similar to the precipitation anomaly (Fig. 2c). Over East Asia, the negative anomaly is relatively dominant while the positive anomaly is dominant over the subtropical North Pacific. From these results, it can be concluded that water vapor transport caused the precipitation change over East Asia and the North Pacific. As well, it was shown that the evaporation reinforces the transport effect over East Asia, but it cancels the transport effect over the high-latitude North Pacific.

Since \( HADV \) depends on both the amount of water vapor (\( \bar{Q} \)) and the convergence of the wind which transports the water vapor (referred to as the effect of \( CONV \)), these two effects need to be separated in order to further understand the dynamics. For example, Knutson and Manabe (1995) compared the two effects when they analyzed the change in the water cycle under the increased atmospheric \( \mathrm{CO}_2 \) climate. Partly based on the previous studies, the effect of \( CONV \) can be separated as follows
\[
HADV = Q \cdot CONV.
\]
(3)

Thus, the difference of \( HADV \) is given as
\[
\Delta(HADV) = \Delta Q(CONV) + Q \Delta(CONV),
\]
(4)

where \( \Delta Q(CONV) \) and \( \Delta Q \) are the anomalies of \( Q \) and \( CONV \), respectively.
Fig. 7. The LGM anomalies associated with the water budget during JJA using the output from the MIROC model ($\Delta P R C P$ in the MIROC model is given in Fig. 2c). (a) $\Delta E V A P$ (the contours are drawn for ±0.2, 0.5, 1 and 2 mm/day). The shades indicate a positive anomaly. (b) Same as (a) but for $\Delta H A D V$ (contour) in Eq. (2). The shades indicate a positive anomaly. The arrows indicate water vapor flux. (c) $\Delta Q$ in Eq. (4) (contour interval is 2 kg/m$^2$). (d) $\Delta F L O W$ in Eq. (5) (arrow) and $\Delta C O N V$ in Eq. (4) (the contours are drawn for ±0.1, 0.2 and $0.5 \times 10^{-6}$ s$^{-1}$). The shades indicate a positive anomaly.

where the term $\Delta Q \Delta(\text{CONV})$ is neglected. We also define the vector $F L O W$

$$F L O W \equiv \frac{\int \rho q \vec{v} \, dz}{\int \rho g \, dz}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

which is the wind averaged in the levels where the water vapor amount is large (usually the lower troposphere). Figure 7c shows $\Delta Q$ for JJA. Over East Asia, as Fig. 3d also shows, $Q$ decreases, which is consistent with a reduction in precipitation over this region. On the other hand, over the North Pacific, the magnitude of $\Delta Q$ is small, which seems to be difficult to explain the $\Delta H A D V$ that is more remarkable in this region than over East Asia (Fig. 7b). Figure 7d shows $\Delta C O N V$ and $\Delta F L O W$. Over the North Pacific, the $\Delta C O N V$ is positive and, thus, indicates a convergent tendency, which results in the increased precipitation. Over East Asia, neither a convergence nor a divergence tendency is dominant. Therefore, the reduced precipitation over East Asia results from less water vapor, not from a divergence of flow. Note that the southward anomaly of $F L O W$ over East Asia is consistent with the meridional wind anomaly at 850 hPa shown in Fig. 3b. In conclusion, compared with the PD climate situation, during the LGM, the water vapor transport from the south during JJA decreases. This in turn results in less water vapor and, thus, precipitation over East Asia.

The atmospheric circulation tendency is associated with a weakened subtropical high over the North Pacific during JJA (Fig. 1). When the subtropical high is weakened, the divergent flow near the center of the high is suppressed and the northward flow on the western edge of the high is reduced. It should be noted that the sea level pressure over Asia shows no tendency to intensify or weaken (Fig. 1). This implies that the change in cyclonic circulation around the Tibetan plateau during a PD summer cannot explain the northerly anomaly on its eastern edge, that is, over East Asia and the western North Pacific.

Since the seasonally-averaged physical variables were used, the transient eddy effects appear as a residual term in Eq. (2). An analysis of the residual term showed that it is less dominant during JJA than during DJF. During DJF, the transient eddy in the active storm track seems to play an important role in water transport as will be discussed in Sect. 4.3.
4.3 General characteristics during a boreal winter

All the CGCMs show, during the LGM boreal winter, the negative pressure anomaly existed over the North Pacific, although the patterns and amplitudes vary among the models (Fig. 4). On the other hand, over East Asia, the Siberian high shows no clear tendency. These results suggest that the tendency of East Asian winter monsoon at LGM was controlled by the tendency of the Aleutian low over the North Pacific rather than the Siberian high over East Asia. This could be useful information to interpret the geological record of dust transport that suggests that the East Asian winter monsoon was intensified during the LGM (Donghuai, 2004).

The negative pressure anomaly is also seen in some AGCM simulations (Kutzbach and Wright, 1985; Rind, 1987; Hall et al., 1996; Vettoretti et al., 2000), although other AGCM (Broccoli and Manabe, 1987; Dong and Valdes, 1998) give positive anomalies. The negative pressure anomaly is also associated with the westerly tendency at the latitudes lower than 40°N and easterly tendency at the latitudes greater than 40°N in zonal wind over the Pacific at 850 hPa (Fig. 6c). At 500 hPa (Fig. 6b), most models show a southward shift of westerly jet stream, which is also seen in some AGCM simulations (Kutzbach and Wright, 1985; Rind, 1987; Hall et al., 1996) and not in others (Dong and Valdes, 1998). Since the westerly jet stream in the upper troposphere is related to the storm-track and, hence, to the mid-latitude precipitation maximum during the winter, the southward shift of the jet stream axis is consistent with the southward shift of the precipitation maximum. During the LGM, an increase in precipitation compared with the PD situation is seen on the eastern side of the maximum. During the winter, this shift results in the heavy precipitation over western North America. Since the precipitation anomaly during the summer is also positive over western North America, this is consistent with the paleoclimatic reconstructions that the western North America experienced a wet climate during the LGM (Kohfeld and Harrison, 2000). It should also be noted that the eastward shift of the storm track had been found in AGCM simulations (Kageyama et al., 1999).

During the LGM, the surface air temperature over the Pacific shows maximum negative anomaly between 30°N and 50°N (Fig. 6f). This characteristic is more remarkable in the western North Pacific than in the eastern North Pacific (not shown). Since this pattern is also seen in annual-mean SST anomaly field, this is consistent with the paleoclimatic reconstructions in that the surface temperature decreases remarkably in the mid-latitude western North Pacific (Oba and Murayama, 2004).

Future study should investigate the dynamics of the negative SLP anomaly, the southward shift of the westerly jet stream in the upper troposphere, the southward shift in precipitation maximum, and mid-latitude temperature decrease. It should be noted that the relation among these variables in the LGM simulations is similar to the currently observed decadal variability over the North Pacific. Although the signs of all fields are inverted, Nakamura et al. (2004) demonstrated that a negative SST anomaly at mid-latitude corresponds with a cyclonic surface wind anomaly, and intensified (weakened) storm track activity at the latitudes lower (higher) than about 45°N. Further studies should be conducted in determining the relationship, if any, between the LGM anomaly patterns and the decadal variation patterns.

5 Conclusions

The atmospheric field over East Asia and the North Pacific during the LGM was investigated using the outputs from different CGCMs, which are available on the PMIP2 web-site. During the boreal summer, a weakening of the high SLP over the North Pacific was found in most CGCM models. Similar results had been obtained in previous AGCM simulations. As well, a reduction in the precipitation over East Asia during the LGM was also simulated in most CGCMs. This result is consistent with the pollen and lake-status records. The water budget analysis suggests that, during the LGM, a reduction in moisture transport resulted in less precipitation over East Asia, while the evaporation from the land surface reinforced the tendency.

During the boreal winter, an intensification of the Aleutian low and a southward shift of the westerly jet stream in the upper-troposphere was present in most CGCM models. This result is consistent with the dust record. The precipitation
maximum at the mid-latitude shifted southward. This seems to be related to the southward shift of westerly jet stream based on a corresponding shift in the storm track.

This paper has not considered the atmospheric circulation mechanism over the North Pacific during the LGM. The effect of the ice sheet topography/albedo, atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration, and solar insolation on the atmospheric circulation need to be examined. In order to examine these effects separately, sensitivity experiments are being performed. Although detailed results will be presented in a future paper, it currently appears that the effect of reduced atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration during the LGM is less crucial than the mechanical and thermal effects of ice sheets not only on the climate over the neighboring region of the North American and northern European ice sheets but also on the climate over East Asia and the North Pacific. This implies that the regional climatic change over East Asia and the North Pacific during the LGM, which is associated with a decrease in the atmospheric CO$_2$, does not necessarily result in an opposite signal compared with a global warming simulation, which is associated with an increase in the atmospheric CO$_2$.

Most of the results of CGCM simulations in this paper are similar to the results of previous AGCM simulations. However, this does not imply that the ocean feedback does not play an important role for the atmospheric circulation anomaly, since the SST anomaly during the LGM based on the paleoclimatic reconstruction is prescribed in such AGCM simulations. Therefore, the influence of thermal and dynamical ocean feedbacks should be examined carefully using fixed-SST AGCM, slab-ocean-coupled AGCM, and full-ocean-coupled AGCM (CGCM). These simulations are currently performed in our group.

Another future work is to examine the seasonality under the climate change during the LGM. For example, Fig. 8 shows the Hovmöller (meridional-month) diagram of the precipitation anomaly over East Asia. While the negative precipitation anomaly at the low latitudes is most remarkable (less than $-0.8$ mm/day) during the boreal summer, the negative anomaly persists in the following season (autumn). The anomaly is uncertain during the boreal winter and spring. Thus, the seasonality of precipitation, horizontal wind and other variables should be examined considering the monsoon onset/offset and meridional movement of the upper-tropospheric westerly jet stream.
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